


ARTS & ECONOMIC PROSPERITY 6

The Economic & Social Impact Study of Nonprofit Arts & Culture Organizations & Their Audiences

Supporting **jobs**.
Generating **revenue**.
Building **community vibrancy**.



Americans
for the **Arts**



“
**THE AEP6 REPORT UNDERSCORES WHAT BUSINESSES
ACROSS THE NATION HAVE WITNESSED—THAT
INVESTMENTS IN ARTS AND CULTURE NOT ONLY
ENHANCE THE QUALITY OF LIFE, BUT ALSO STIMULATE
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. BY SUPPORTING THE
ARTS, COMPANIES ATTRACT AND RETAIN TALENT
AND CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT WHERE CREATIVITY,
BUSINESSES, AND COMMUNITIES THRIVE.**

”
**PAUL WASHINGTON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL & GOVERNANCE CENTER,
THE CONFERENCE BOARD**



ARTS AND CULTURE BUILDS

BY RANDY COHEN, VP OF RESEARCH, AMERICANS FOR THE ARTS

Every day, more than 100,000 nonprofit arts and culture organizations in the U.S. are making their communities better places to live and work by beautifying cities, fueling creativity, celebrating diversity, and bringing joy to residents. Like all nonprofits, these organizations have a public purpose: to make their cultural product broadly accessible so everyone can share in these benefits. And, like all nonprofits, they count on financial support from government and the private sector to deliver on that promise. We are in a time, however, when many leaders feel challenged to fund the arts. Shrinking budgets, mandates to prioritize jobs and economic growth, and pressing community development issues make for difficult decision making. To those leaders, **Arts & Economic Prosperity 6** brings a welcome message: when you invest in the arts and culture, you are investing in an industry that strengthens your economy and builds more livable communities.

Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 (AEP6) is an economic and social impact study of the nation's nonprofit arts and culture industry. By every measure, the results are impressive. Nationally, the sector generated \$151.7 billion of economic activity in 2022—\$73.3 billion in spending by arts and culture organizations and an additional \$78.4 billion in event-related expenditures by their audiences. What was the impact of this economic activity? It supported 2.6 million jobs, provided \$101 billion in personal income to residents, and generated \$29.1 billion in tax revenue to local, state, and federal governments.

Investment in the nonprofit arts and culture industry builds the communities where people want to live and work. It is where entrepreneurs and creative economy businesses are launched and where nighttime economies flourish. When we prioritize diverse cultural expressions and traditions, it nurtures social connections, promotes community pride and identity, and boosts tourism by providing the authentic experiences that draw visitors to the community. If visitors have a positive experience, it may become a place to work—and ultimately one in which to live. Creating livable communities is economic development.

AEP6 expands beyond the economic and financial data of its five previous studies to include social impact measurements of arts and culture's effect on the well-being of communities and residents. For example, 89% of attendees to arts and culture events agreed that, "the event they are attending inspires a sense of pride in the neighborhood or community," and 86% responded that they "would feel a great sense of loss if this activity or venue were no longer available." Taken together, economic and social impact provide a more holistic portrait of how arts and culture strengthen communities.



"Mayors understand the connection between the arts industry and city revenues. Arts activity creates thousands of direct and indirect jobs and generates billions in government and business revenues. The arts also make our cities destinations for tourists, help attract and retain businesses, and play an important role in the economic revitalization of cities and the vibrancy of our neighborhoods."

— RENO MAYOR HILLARY SCHIEVE,
President, The United States Conference of Mayors



30 YEARS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDIES

AEP6 represents a reset from its previous versions, establishing a new benchmark in the AEP study series.

- **We changed our approach** (expanded the study inclusion criteria from “arts” to “arts and culture,” implemented a new data collection methodology, and moved our economic impact analysis modeling to the IMPLAN platform), and
- **The world around us changed** (COVID-19 pandemic, a recession, decrease in audiences and changed attendance habits, profound job losses in the arts and hospitality industries, and billions of dollars to arts and culture from federal pandemic relief funding such as the CARES Act).

What has not changed is the community-based focus of our work. When Americans for the Arts published its first economic impact study in 1994, we partnered with local arts agencies representing 33 communities. AEP6 has grown tenfold since then. It provides detailed findings on 373 regions from across all 50 states and Puerto Rico—ranging in population from 4,000 to 4 million—and representing rural, suburban, and large urban communities. Local and statewide research partners collected surveys from 16,399 nonprofit arts and culture organizations and 224,677 of their attendees and customized economic input-output models were built for every region to ensure reliable data and actionable results.



“The AEP6 report findings confirm again the economic value of arts and culture. Arts and culture continue to put millions of people to work in big cities and small towns across the United States, including many members of DPE’s affiliate unions. The AEP6 report also finds that arts and cultural productions are economic drivers for local economies by spurring consumer spending at restaurants, hotels, and other local businesses. Simply put, investment in arts and culture continues to deliver a positive economic return for American communities.”

— **JENNIFER DORNING**,
President, Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO



“As a banker, I have visited businesses in almost every city and town in my state. There is a visible difference in places with a vibrant arts community. I see people looking for places to park, stores staying open late, and restaurants packed with diners. The business day is extended and the cash registers are ringing.”

— **KEN FERGESON**,
Chairman, NBC Oklahoma, Past Chair,
American Bankers Association



SPENDING BY ARTS AND CULTURE AUDIENCES

What continues to set AEP6 apart from other national studies is its analysis of the event-related spending by arts and culture audiences. When people attend a cultural event, they often make an outing of it—dining at a restaurant, paying for parking or public transportation, enjoying dessert after the show, and returning home to pay for child or pet care. AEP6 shows that the typical attendee spends \$38.46 per person per event, in addition to the cost of event admission. A ZIP code analysis of each of the 224,677 survey respondents shows that a third of attendees (30.1%) traveled from outside the county in which the event took place. Their event-related spending was more than twice that of their local counterparts (\$60.57 vs. \$29.77).

What brought those visitors to town? For 77% of respondents, the primary purpose of their visit was to attend that cultural event. When we asked their **local** counterparts what they would have done if the event where they were surveyed had not been available, 51% said they would have “traveled to a different community to attend a similar arts or cultural activity”—and 64% of **nonlocal visitors** would have traveled to another community as well. Vibrant arts communities attract visitors who spend money and help local businesses thrive. They also keep resident spending money local—a value-add that few industries can compete with.

ADDING VALUE THROUGH EQUITY AND INCLUSION

Past AEP studies have focused primarily on the nonprofit arts and culture industry's financial, economic, and tourism contributions. This resulted in more effort being placed on collecting data from large-budget organizations with existing relationships to the funding community, and less on smaller organizations and those that primarily serve communities of color. Thus, there has been an underrepresentation of the latter. With the goal of making AEP6 more inclusive and reducing systemic bias, Americans for the Arts transformed its approach. We hired an AEP6 community engagement and equity research director, added an equity consultant to the research team, established an AEP6 Equity Task Force composed of leaders from all segments of the industry, and completed a full review and restructuring of the methodology. We ensured publishing accessibility guidelines were met and provided inclusive language offerings (for example, we made the audience survey available in 25 languages). We also created a series of community engagement tools to help our research partners identify, approach, and establish new and strengthen existing relationships with organizations representing BIPOC- (Black, Indigenous, People of Color) and ALAANA- (African, Latine, Asian, Arab, Native American) identifying communities.

AEP6 included an expectation—for the first time—that our research partners would collect a portion of audience surveys at events that were presented, produced, or hosted by BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. We found that spending by attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations was nearly identical to the overall national average (\$38.29 and \$38.46 per person, respectively). Similar findings were noted in the social impact questions. For example, 81.2% of attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations agreed, "This venue or facility is an important pillar for me within my community." The figure for all attendees was 81.4%.

With the research showing proportional economic and community impacts, these findings should initiate new, or escalate existing, funding conversations about BIPOC and ALAANA organizations receiving fair and proportional financial support—a necessary first step in correcting the grant award processes that have frequently proven to be historically and systemically unbalanced. A 2019

[report](#) by Americans for the Arts, for example, found that among local arts agency grantmaking organizations, the largest 16% of grant recipients (by budget) received 73% of the dollars awarded. Ensuring equitable funding for arts and culture organizations is a vital step in creating an inclusive, balanced, and vibrant cultural landscape.

Building More Livable Communities

The arts were among the economic sectors most devastated by the COVID-19 pandemic and remain among the slowest to recover. Yet, they still helped us heal socially and recover economically. The arts infused our lives with joy when it was hard to find, staved off isolation and loneliness when it was most persistent, and increased life satisfaction when it lagged the most. The arts were also kindling for the economy, getting people out of their homes and spending money in the community. AEP6 makes clear that when we fund the arts, we are not supporting a frill or an extra. Rather, we are investing in an industry—one that stimulates the economy, supports local jobs, and contributes to building healthy and vibrant communities.

"City planners know the tremendous power of art and creativity in the built environment. For the planning profession, artists and culture bearers are key allies in our work: they help us shape resilient, livable, and equitable places. This is why the Arts & Planning Division of the American Planning Association advances a network across the fields of planning and the arts. And this is why we value the AEP6 and its data-driven, place-based approach. We know it will have a strong impact for our members and our shared work."

— ANNIS SENGUPTA,
Chair, The Arts & Planning Division
of the American Planning Association

TOP AEP6 TAKEAWAYS

- 1. Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 (AEP6) is an economic and social impact study of the nation's nonprofit arts and culture industry.** Nationally, the sector generated \$151.7 billion of economic activity in 2022—\$73.3 billion in spending by arts and culture organizations and an additional \$78.4 billion in event-related expenditures by their audiences. That economic activity supported 2.6 million jobs, provided \$101 billion in personal income to residents, and generated \$29.1 billion in tax revenue to local, state, and federal governments.
- 2. Nonprofit arts and culture organizations are businesses.** They employ people locally, purchase supplies and services from nearby businesses, and engage in the marketing and promotion of their cities and regions. Their very act of doing business—creating, presenting, exhibiting, engaging—has a positive economic impact and improves community well-being. Nonprofit arts and culture organizations spent an estimated \$73.3 billion which supported 1.6 million jobs and generated \$18.3 billion in local, state, and federal government revenue.
- 3. Arts and culture drives commerce to local businesses.** When people attend a cultural event, they often make an outing of it—dining at a restaurant, paying for parking or public transportation, enjoying dessert after the show, and returning home to pay for child or pet care. Attendees at nonprofit arts and culture events spend \$38.46 per person per event, beyond the cost of admission—vital income for local merchants and a value-add that few industries can compete with.
- 4. Arts and culture strengthens the visitor economy.** One-third (30.1%) of attendees travel from outside the county in which the activity takes place; they spend an average of \$60.57, twice that of their local counterparts (\$29.77). Three-quarters (77%) of nonlocal attendees reported that the primary purpose of their visit was to attend that cultural event.
- 5. A vibrant arts and culture community keeps residents spending locally.** When local attendees to nonprofit arts and culture events were asked what they would have done if the event where they were surveyed had not been available, 51% said they would have “traveled to a different community to attend a similar arts or cultural activity.”
- 6. Spending by attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA events reflect national spending.** Attendees at organizations serving a community of color spend an average of \$38.29 per person per event—virtually identical to the overall national average of \$38.46. Attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA events from outside the county represented 27.8% of the audiences (nationally it was 30.1%). Even the spending by nonlocal attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations was nearly identical to the national average (\$58.98 and \$60.57, respectively).
- 7. Pride in community.** 89% of attendees agreed that the activity or venue they were attending was “a source of neighborhood pride for the community.” 86% said they would “feel a sense of loss if that activity or venue was no longer available,” and 86% felt it important that future generations also be able to have that cultural experience.
- 8. Arts and culture builds more livable communities.** 86% of Americans say, “arts and culture are important to their community’s quality of life and livability,” and 79% of the American public believe that the arts are “important to their community’s businesses, economy, and local jobs.”
- 9. Improving personal well-being.** 78% of the population say the arts are a “positive experience in a troubled world,” 69% of the population believe the arts “lift me up beyond everyday experiences,” and 71% feel the arts give them “pure pleasure to experience and participate in.”
- 10. Building empathy and understanding.** 72% of Americans believe, “The arts provide shared experiences with people of different races, ethnicities, ages, beliefs, and identities (gender, political, national origin),” and 73% agree that the arts “helps me understand other cultures better.”
- 11. Post-COVID audiences spent more, traveled less.** Nationally, per person event-related spending increased from \$31.47 to \$38.44 in the years between AEP5 and AEP6 (2016 and 2022)—a 22% increase, matching the rate of inflation during that period. The percentage of nonlocal attendees (coming from outside the county in which the event took place) decreased from 34% in AEP5 to 30% in AEP6 (-11.5%).
- 12. Arts sparks creativity and innovation.** “Creativity” is among the top five applied skills sought by business leaders—per the Conference Board’s Ready to Innovate report—with 72% saying creativity is of “high importance” when hiring. For the second year in a row, “creativity” tops the list as the #1 soft skill needed in business (LinkedIn).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- page 01 **Arts and Culture Builds**, by Randy Cohen,
VP of Research, Americans for the Arts
- page 07 **The Economic & Social Impact
of Nonprofit Arts and Culture
Organizations & Their Audiences**
- page 13 **Nonprofit Arts and Culture Organizations**
- page 16 **Arts and Culture Audiences**
- page 20 **Conclusion**
- page 22 **Building AEP6 with a Foundation in
Equity**, by Dr. Genna Styles-Lyas, Director
of AEP6 Community Engagement
and Equity, Americans for the Arts
- page 32 **About This Study**
- page 36 **Glossary**
- page 38 **Frequently Asked Questions**
- page 45 *Thank You to Our 297 Research
Partner Organizations!*
- page 49 **Acknowledgements and Appreciation**

THE ECONOMIC & SOCIAL IMPACT OF NONPROFIT ARTS AND CULTURE ORGANIZATIONS & THEIR AUDIENCES

From coast to coast, and from our smallest rural towns to our largest urban cities, America’s nonprofit arts and culture organizations are providing inspiration and joy to residents, beautifying public spaces, and strengthening community pride and identity. Arts and culture organizations are also businesses. They employ people locally, purchase goods and services from nearby businesses, and produce the authentic cultural experiences that are magnets for visitors, tourists, and new residents. Event-related spending by their audiences generates valuable revenue for local merchants—dining in a nearby restaurant, paying to park or for a rideshare, shopping at local retail stores, and enjoying dessert after a show—a value-add few industries can compete with. These actions, in turn, support jobs, generate household income, and generate tax revenues to the government that more than offset the public’s arts and culture sector investment. The economic activity by arts and culture organizations and their audiences is (1) measurable and (2) a story that must be told.

Arts and Economic Prosperity 6 (AEP6) is a national study documenting the economic and social impacts of the nation’s nonprofit arts and culture industry. In 2022, nonprofit arts and culture organizations and their audiences generated \$151.7 billion in economic activity—\$73.3 billion in spending by the organizations, which leveraged an additional \$78.4 billion in event-related spending by their audiences. The impact of this economic activity is far reaching, supporting 2.6 million jobs, generating \$29.1 billion in tax revenue, and providing \$101 billion in personal income to residents. AEP6 sends a strong signal that when we support the arts, we are investing in both economic and community well-being.

Economic Impact of Nonprofit Arts and Culture Industry in 2022 (Organizations and Their Audiences)

	Organizations	Audiences	Total
Total Direct Expenditures	\$73,286,552,000	\$78,388,967,000	\$151,675,522,000
Jobs Supported	1,564,000	1,010,000	2,574,000
Household Income Paid	\$65,369,105,000	\$35,640,920,000	\$101,010,025,000
Local Government Revenue	\$2,215,043,000	\$2,163,658,000	\$4,378,701,000
State Government Revenue	\$3,006,951,000	\$2,479,839,000	\$5,486,790,000
Federal Tax Revenue	\$13,114,037,000	\$6,127,238,000	\$19,241,276,000
Total Tax Revenue	\$18,336,032,000	\$10,770,735,000	\$29,106,767,000

AEP6 is the largest and most inclusive study of its kind. It documents the economic and social contributions of arts and culture in 373 diverse communities and regions representing all 50 states and Puerto Rico. The study areas range in population from 4,000 to 4 million and represent rural, suburban, and urban communities (130 cities and 126 counties, 78 multi-city or multi-county regions, 18 individual arts districts, and 21 entire states/territories).

To measure industry spending, local and statewide research partners representing the 373 study regions collected expenditure and attendance data from 16,399 arts and culture organizations and the event-related spending information from 224,667 of their attendees. Using the IMPLAN economic modeling platform, input-output analysis models were customized for each study region to provide specific and reliable economic impact data for each.

Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 has been expanded beyond previous AEP iterations conducted by Americans for the Arts by (1) making it more inclusive of previously under-represented organizations—primarily ones serving BIPOC and ALAANA communities as well as smaller-sized organizations—and (2) expanding beyond the financial and economic findings to also include the industry’s social impact benefits.

SOCIAL IMPACT OF ARTS AND CULTURE


Past AEP studies have focused primarily on the financial, economic, and tourism contributions of the nonprofit arts and culture industry. AEP6 expands beyond that to also include measures of social impact. Surveys completed by individual attendees demonstrate a deep appreciation for how arts and culture impacts the development and well-being of communities and their residents. 89% of respondents agreed that the activity or venue they were attending was “a source of neighborhood pride for the community.” 86% said they would “feel a sense of loss if that activity or venue was no longer available,” and 86% felt it important that future generations also be able to have that cultural experience. This high level of appreciation is found across all socioeconomic groupings.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<i>“This venue or facility is an important pillar for me within my community.”</i>	53.9%	27.6%	12.2%	0.9%	5.5%
<i>“I would feel a great sense of loss if this activity or venue were no longer available”</i>	59.0%	27.0%	9.0%	1.0%	4.1%
<i>“This activity or venue is inspiring a sense of pride in this neighborhood or community”</i>	59.4%	29.1%	7.2%	0.3%	4.0%
<i>“My attendance is my way of ensuring that this activity or venue is preserved for future generations”</i>	55.8%	29.9%	9.4%	0.9%	3.9%

The AEP6 social impact findings are specific to people attending an arts and culture event. It could be argued these respondents may already have a positive disposition about their cultural experience by virtue of their event attendance.

“Americans Speak Out About the Arts in 2023”—a national public opinion study of 3,062 American adults conducted by Ipsos Public Affairs for Americans for the Arts—expands the survey universe to the general public to include both those that do, and do not, participate personally. The study also finds a rich appreciation for both the social and economic benefits that arts and culture brings to their community.

Findings from “Americans Speak Out About the Arts in 2023,” an National Public Opinion Survey About the Arts



How can a dollar be respent in a community?

AEP6 measures the economic impact of the arts using a methodology that enables economists to track how many times a dollar is respent within the local economy, and then to measure the economic impact generated by each round of spending (i.e., the direct, indirect, and induced economic impacts). Think of this as tracking a supply chain.

Consider this example:

A theater company purchases a five-gallon bucket of paint from its local hardware store for \$100—a very simple transaction at the outset but one that initiates a complex sequence of income and spending by both individuals and other businesses.

Following the initial purchase, the hardware store may use a portion of the \$100 to pay the sales clerk who sold the bucket of paint. The sales clerk then respends some of the money for groceries; the grocery store uses some of the money to pay its cashier; the cashier then spends some of the money for rent; and so on.

The hardware store also uses some of the \$100 to purchase goods and services from other businesses, such as the local utility company, and then to buy a new bucket of paint from the paint factory to restock its shelf. Those businesses, in turn, respent the money they earned from the hardware store to pay employees and buy goods and services, and so on.

Some of these expenditures are local and some are outside the region. The local ones continue the local economic impact cycle. Eventually, the last of the \$100 is spent outside of the community at which point it no longer has a local economic impact. It is considered to have “leaked” out of the community.

The total economic impact describes this full economic effect, starting with the theater’s initial paint purchase and ending when the last of the \$100 leaks out of the community. It is composed of the direct economic impact (the effect of the initial paint purchase by the theater), as well as the indirect and induced economic impacts, which are the effects of the subsequent rounds of spending by businesses and individuals, respectively.

Interestingly, a dollar ripples very differently through each community, which is why a customized economic model is constructed for each study region. The IMPLAN platform accounts for the unique economic and industrial characteristics of local economies.

CENTERING ORGANIZATIONS SERVING COMMUNITIES OF COLOR IN AEP6

The prioritization of financial and economic analyses in past AEP studies typically resulted in high rates of inclusion by large-budget organizations (often focused on Eurocentric culture) and an underrepresentation of arts and culture organizations that primarily serve communities of color. Two changes were made to the AEP6 methodology with the goal of mitigating this imbalance.

1. The first was building a larger and more inclusive universe of organizations eligible to be surveyed in AEP6. Local and statewide research partners used new protocols to make contact with organizations that they may have had no previous relationship with and identify new organizations they were unaware of. They also sought to identify arts and culture programs under the umbrella of a non-arts organization or facility (e.g., social service agency, faith-based institution, or library). Expanding the terminology to “arts and culture” was also a deliberate equity strategy. This is because “arts” organizations and “culture” organizations are used synonymously in some communities of color.
2. AEP6 included an expectation—for the first time—that our local and statewide research partners would collect a portion of audience surveys at events that were presented, produced, or hosted by BIPOC or ALAANA organizations. A requested sample size was determined for each community based on the U.S. Census Bureau’s percentage of the population

that identifies as “White only, not Hispanic or Latino.” For example, if the census estimates that 20% of a community’s population identifies as something other than “White only, not Hispanic or Latino,” the research partner representing that community was asked to collect at least 20% of their total sample of audience surveys from attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. The requested minimum sample was “at least 25%” for nearly two-thirds of the 373 participating communities. While just 141 of the 373 study regions were able to meet the data collection goal (38%), it yielded a robust national sample of 37,805 respondents.

An analysis of the audience surveys at BIPOC and ALAANA events (N=37,805) and the overall national findings (N=224,677) showed nearly identical results in average event-related spending, nonlocal visitation, and opinions on the social impact of arts and culture.

- Per person spending by attendees at BIPOC events (\$38.29) was only fractionally different from the national average spending at all events (\$38.46).
- Similar findings were noted in the social impact questions. For example, 88.7% of attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations agreed, “This activity or venue is inspiring a sense of pride in this neighborhood or community.” The figure for all attendees was 88.5%.

	Attendees at BIPOC Events	Attendees at All Events
<i>“This venue or facility is an important pillar for me within my community.”</i>	81.2%	81.4%
<i>“I would feel a great sense of loss if this activity or venue were no longer available”</i>	84.9%	86.0%
<i>“This activity or venue is inspiring a sense of pride in this neighborhood or community”</i>	88.7%	88.5%
<i>“My attendance is my way of ensuring that this activity or venue is preserved for future generations”</i>	86.6%	85.7%

DEFINING ECONOMIC IMPACT

Researchers—together with local and statewide AEP6 study partners—collected expenditure and attendance data from 16,399 arts and culture organizations and 224,677 of their attendees to measure total industry spending. Using the IMPLAN economic modeling platform, input-output analysis models were customized for each study region. These quantitative models measure the economic relationships between hundreds of different industries in each geographic area. This, in turn, enables localizable economic impact results to be derived. Why this level of rigor? Quite simply, \$50 spent in two different cities, even if in the same state, may have two very different sets of economic impact outcomes. It takes more than one million calculations to derive the economic impact data for each community. IMPLAN’s method of economic analysis ensures reliable and actionable localized results.

1. **Jobs** is a total figure of people employed (full-time, part-time, and seasonal employment jobs).
2. **Resident Household Income** includes salaries, wages, and entrepreneurial income paid to residents. It is the money individuals earn personally and then use to pay for food, mortgages, and other living expenses.
3. **Revenue to Local, State, and Federal Governments** revenue from taxes (e.g., income, property, or sales) as well as funds from licenses, filing fees, and other similar sources.

The American public appreciates both the economic and quality of life benefits provided by the arts.



Source: *Americans Speak Out About the Arts in 2023*.

HOW NONPROFIT ARTS AND CULTURE ORGANIZATIONS GENERATE TAX REVENUE

The nonprofit arts and culture industry generates significant revenues to local, state, and federal governments. Nonprofit organizations themselves are exempt from most federal and state taxes, so how can they generate tax revenue? Like all businesses, they pay payroll taxes (Social Security, Medicare) and employees of nonprofits pay income taxes on their personal earnings. Similarly, local businesses are likely to pay taxes on the goods and services sold to a nonprofit. Event-related spending by arts audiences (e.g., meals, parking, retail, lodging) is taxed in most communities, providing another stream of government revenue. Given the substantial financial activity of the arts, there is a considerable amount of tax revenue generated.

“Nonprofit” Arts and Culture Organizations

AEP6 used an inclusive approach when building the list of nonprofit arts and culture organizations to be surveyed as part of the study, one that accounts for different localities and cultures. For example, in some communities, the museum may be a nonprofit organization while in others it is a government-owned and operated entity. Both are included in AEP6. Also included are organizations such as public and private local arts agencies, living collections (zoos, aquariums, and botanical gardens), university presenters, and arts programs under the umbrella of a non-arts organization or facility (such as a library, social service organization, or church). In addition to the organization types listed above, the study partners were encouraged to include other types of organizations if they play a substantial role in the cultural life of the community or if their primary purpose is to promote participation in, appreciation for, and understanding of arts and culture. In short, if it displays the characteristics of a nonprofit arts and culture organization and has an identifiable budget, attendance, and leadership, it was included in AEP6. This study does, however, exclude individual artists and the for-profit arts and entertainment sector (e.g., Broadway, popular music concert tours, or the motion picture industry)—all vital and valued components of the nation’s arts landscape but beyond the scope of this study.

THE PANDEMIC'S DEVASTATING IMPACT ON ARTS AND CULTURE...A RECOVERY THAT CONTINUES

AEP6 was conducted in 2022-23 as the nation continued its emergence from the COVID-19 pandemic. By every measure, the arts and culture industry was among the most devastated economic sectors.

The U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis provided one particularly sobering [report](#) of the pandemic's impact on the arts in 2022: "In year one of the COVID-19 pandemic, few areas of the U.S. economy were harder hit than the performing arts: Performing arts presenters and performing arts companies joined oil drilling/exploration and air transportation as the steepest-declining areas of the U.S. economy in 2020. After adjusting for inflation, the value added by performing arts presenters (including festivals) fell by nearly **73%** between 2019 and 2020."

- Many communities implemented restrictions on public gatherings and travel, which limited attendance and even the ability of performers to work together on the stage. **99%** of producing and presenting organizations canceled events during the pandemic with many shuttering for entire seasons—a loss of an estimated **557 million ticketed admissions** ([Survey by Americans for the Arts, 2020-22](#)).
- Nonprofit arts organizations experienced a staggering loss of jobs. Johns Hopkins University reported in 2021 that the percentage of job losses at nonprofit arts organizations was nearly 5 times worse than the average of all nonprofits (**-34.7% vs. -7.4%**).
- In 2020, **63%** of artists experienced unemployment and **95%** lost creative income. **37%** were unable to access or afford food at some point during the pandemic and **58%** did not visit a medical professional due to an inability to pay. ([Americans for the Arts, 2022](#))
- The pandemic's impact was not felt equally. Organizations serving and representing BIPOC communities were more likely to report that they lacked the financial resources needed to return to in-person programming than non-BIPOC organizations (**55% vs.**

38%). BIPOC artists had higher rates of unemployment than white artists in 2020 (**69% vs. 60%**) and lost a larger percentage of their creative income (**61% vs. 56%**). ([Americans for the Arts, 2022](#))

- Arts and culture organizations showed resilience by moving to virtual and online experiences, outdoor performances, drive-in events, and other innovative ways to maintain audience and subscriber engagement.
- Audiences are returning slowly in many communities with informal estimates of a **2/3 to 3/4 return rate** as of 2023.

40% of responding AEP6 organizations reported that, during the height of the pandemic, they expanded services beyond arts and culture in order to address urgent community needs such as collecting and donating supplies, donating facility space as a testing/vaccination site, or helping other organizations and individuals apply for pandemic relief and unemployment benefits.

Even amid the damage and instability the pandemic caused, AEP6 demonstrates that arts and culture remains a formidable industry that benefits communities economically, socially, and culturally.

The pandemic occurred in the time between the AEP5 and AEP6 fiscal years of analysis (2015 and 2022, respectively). While analyses of the pandemic's impact on the arts will continue for years to come, the challenges it brought had an undeniable effect on the industry. Thus, study-to-study comparisons of AEP findings are not recommended.



NONPROFIT ARTS AND CULTURE ORGANIZATIONS

Most people appreciate nonprofit arts and culture organizations as wonderful amenities that improve community livability. They are also businesses. They employ people locally, purchase supplies and services from nearby businesses, and engage in the marketing and promotion of their cities and regions. Their very act of doing business—creating, presenting, exhibiting, engaging—has a positive economic impact on the community.

To measure the impact of spending, local and statewide research partners first identified their universe of nonprofit arts and culture organizations. Those organizations were then asked to complete a survey about their fiscal 2022 expenses and attendance. A total of 16,399 organizations responded to the survey ranging in budget size from \$0 to \$375 million. Each study region's data is based solely on information provided by its responding organizations. No estimates are made to account for non-respondents. The national figures are estimates based on calculations using the local findings.

In 2022, nonprofit arts and culture organizations added an estimated \$73.3 billion to the nation's economy, supporting 1.6 million jobs, providing \$65.4 billion in household income, and generating \$18.3 billion in total tax revenue. This is the impact of just organizations—festivals, performing and visual arts organizations, history and heritage centers, public art programs, museums, community arts programs, living collections, and more. It does not take into consideration the additional induced spending by their audiences.

Economic Impact of Nonprofit Arts and Culture Organizations



Arts and culture organizations provide rewarding employment for more than just arts administrators, artists, curators, and musicians. They also employ accountants, printers, builders, plumbers, and an array of occupations spanning many industries.



*“Race Forward values the vital role of the arts and culture in achieving a just, multiracial democracy, in which people of color thrive with power and purpose. Throughout American history, from the Harlem Renaissance to the Chicano Mural Movement to publications like *Gidra*, artists and culture bearers of color have used their craft to shape powerful narratives that assert the full humanity of communities of color; challenge racist ideologies in neighborhoods, on campuses and in workplaces; and push us to realize an equitable future. In addition to the aesthetic and economic boosts that artists and culture bearers of color undoubtedly bring to local and national economies, we must also honor, cherish, and invest in the bold sociopolitical voice for racial and economic justice for all that they offer to us through their artistic and cultural expression.”*

— GLENN HARRIS,
President of Race Forward

“Arts and cultural organizations play an essential role in their local communities, and they hold an equally important place within the broader nonprofit community. Americans for the Arts has done it again, with compelling new data about the power of arts and culture to create jobs, generate tax revenue, and build vibrant communities. This report is a call to action for policymakers and a powerful tool for nonprofit advocates across the sector.”

— DR. AKILAH WATKINS, President and CEO, Independent Sector

JOBS SUPPORTED ACROSS THE COMMUNITY

Nonprofit arts and culture organizations provide rewarding employment for more than just artists, curators, musicians, and administrators. They also employ builders, plumbers, accountants, graphic designers, salespeople, custodians, and an array of occupations spanning many industries. Arts and culture jobs are highly local and are not typically the type to be offshored. Dollars spent on human resources typically stay within a community longer, thereby having a greater local economic impact.

ECONOMIC IMPACT BEYOND DOLLARS: VOLUNTEERISM

While arts volunteers do not have an economic impact as defined in this study (because there are no direct expenditures), they do have an enormous impact on their community by helping arts and culture organizations function as a viable industry. The average amount of volunteerism in 2022, per the 16,399 responding organizations, was 73.3 volunteers per organization. Each of those volunteers averaged 31.1 hours (2,281 hours per organization)—a donation valued at \$72,542 per organization. The average amount of volunteerism in 2022 for each city and county in AEP6 was 3,757 volunteers who donated 115,479 hours to nonprofit arts and culture organizations. This has a donation value of \$3.6 million. The [Independent Sector](#) places the value of the average 2022 volunteer hour in the U.S. at \$31.80. Volunteers can include individuals such as unpaid professional staff (executive and program staff, board/commission members), artistic volunteers (artists, choreographers, designers), clerical volunteers, and service volunteers (ticket takers, docents, ushers, gift shop volunteers).


VALUE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS

The responding arts and culture organizations provided data about their in-kind support. In-kind contributions are non-cash donations such as donated assets, office space, airfare, and advertising space. 86% reported some level of in-kind support, averaging \$49,213 per organization during fiscal year 2022. These contributions can be received from a variety of sources including corporations, individuals, local and state arts agencies, and the government. Like volunteerism, in-kind contributions are not factored into the economic impact measures as defined in this study, but they provide an enormous assist to the organizations and their ability to make their cultural product accessible to the community.

Arts are a larger segment of the economy than most people realize.

The U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) reports that the nation’s arts and culture sector—nonprofit, commercial, education—is a **\$1.02 trillion** industry that supports 4.9 million jobs (2021). That is **4.4%** of the nation’s economy—a larger share of GDP than powerhouse sectors such as construction, transportation, and agriculture. That the BEA measures arts and culture production in the U.S. is an indication of the important role of the arts in building a healthy economy and ensuring global competitiveness.

The BEA’s report considers the full breadth of the nation’s arts and culture industries, unlike AEP6 which focuses solely on the nonprofit and public sector and their audiences.



ARTS AND CULTURE AUDIENCES

Every day, millions of people attend and participate in arts and culture activities. Unlike most industries, arts and culture leverages significant amounts of “event-related spending” by their audiences. For example, part of the cultural experience often includes dining out at a restaurant, paying for parking, shopping in nearby stores, and returning home to pay for child or pet care. Sometimes it includes travel and paying for overnight lodging. Local businesses that cater to arts and culture audiences reap the rewards of this economic activity.

To measure the impact of spending by arts and culture audiences, researchers used an audience-intercept methodology, a standard technique in which attendees are asked to complete a short survey about their spending related to that event, opinions about the social impact of the arts, home ZIP code, and basic socioeconomic information. Surveys took place while they were attending the event. Nationally, 224,677 audience surveys were collected for AEP6 across the 373 study regions between spring of 2022 and summer of 2023.

The typical attendee to a nonprofit arts or culture event spent **\$38.46 per person per event** (not including the cost of admission, or food and beverage purchased on-site during the event). Nationally, total event-related spending was an estimated **\$78.4 billion**. This spending supported **1.01 million jobs**, provided **\$35.6 billion** in household income, and generated **\$10.8 billion** in total government revenue. **30.1%** of attendees traveled from outside the county in which the arts event took place. They spent an average of **\$60.57 per person**. Why were they visiting? **77%** said it was specifically to attend the cultural activity where they were surveyed.

Economic Impact of Spending By Audiences at Nonprofit Arts and Culture Events



Spending by Arts Audiences Sends **\$78.4 Billion** to Local Businesses

ATTENDEE SPENDING

The typical attendee to a nonprofit arts and culture event spent \$38.46 per person per event (not including the cost of admission or food and drink purchased on-site during the event). While there is a considerable range in average per-person spending from community-to-community (\$7.86 to \$155.21), the percentage spent on various categories are much closer. As has been true in every AEP audience spending analysis, the largest spending category was “food and drink” purchased off-site at local establishments, which accounted for 38.5%. Retail shopping was the second-largest category (16.7%), followed by local overnight lodging (13.0%), local transportation (10.6%), clothing and accessories (7.9%), groceries and supplies (7.8%), childcare (1.6%), and other/miscellaneous (3.8%).

Why exclude the cost of admission and on-site food and drink purchases? Those costs are paid directly to the arts and culture organizations themselves and are captured as expenses on a separate survey completed by those organizations. This methodology avoids “double counting” those dollars in the study analysis.

Average Per Person Audience Expenditure: \$38.46	
Food and Drink (off-site purchases only)	\$14.81
Retail Shopping	\$6.42
Overnight Lodging	\$5.02
Local Transportation	\$4.09
Clothing and Accessories	\$3.03
Supplies and Groceries	\$2.99
Childcare	\$0.62
Other/Miscellaneous	\$1.48

Americans Speak Out About Cultural Tourism

As communities compete for the tourist’s dollar, arts and culture is a proven magnet for travelers’ time and money. Local businesses grow because travelers extend the length of their trips for arts and culture experiences.

- **79%** of Americans agree, “The arts attract travelers and are good for tourism.”
- **70%** agree, “The arts improve the image and identity of my community.”
- **53%** say, “When planning a vacation, I consider the destination’s arts and culture experiences when deciding where to visit.”
- With this level of public understanding of the arts and tourism connection, it is no surprise that **66%** favor government funding the arts as a means to increase tourism.

Source: *Americans Speak Out About the Arts in 2023.*

Nationally, 77% of all nonlocal arts attendees reported that the primary reason for their trip was “Specifically to attend this performance, event, exhibit, venue, or facility.”



53% of Americans say, “When planning a vacation, I consider the destination’s arts and culture experiences when deciding where to visit.”

LOCAL AND NONLOCAL AUDIENCES: 70% VS. 30%

In addition to spending data, each of the 224,677 audience survey respondents provided the ZIP code of their primary residence. Attendees that lived within the county in which the event took place were considered local. Nonlocal attendees were defined as those coming from outside the county in which the event took place. While the percentage of nonlocal attendees can vary substantially from one community to another (ranging from 2.8% to 84.4%), the national sample revealed that 30% of attendees traveled from outside of the county, with the remaining 70% of attendees residing within the county.

Nonlocal attendees averaged twice as much event-related spending as their local counterparts (\$60.57 vs. \$29.77). Not surprisingly, nonlocals spend more per person in typical travel categories such as lodging, meals and beverages, and local transportation.

Average Per Person Event-Related Spending			
	Local Attendees	Nonlocal Attendees	National Average (All Attendees)
Food and Drink (off-site purchases only)	\$12.86	\$19.07	\$14.81
Retail Shopping	\$5.48	\$9.08	\$6.42
Overnight Lodging	\$1.07	\$14.83	\$5.02
Local Transportation	\$2.97	\$6.93	\$4.09
Clothing and Accessories	\$2.98	\$3.66	\$3.03
Supplies and Groceries	\$2.57	\$4.12	\$2.99
Childcare	\$0.61	\$0.72	\$0.62
Other/Miscellaneous	\$1.23	\$2.16	\$1.48
TOTAL (PER PERSON PER EVENT)	\$29.77	\$60.57	\$38.46

77% OF NONLOCAL ATTENDEES "TRAVELED HERE SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS ARTS EVENT"


Nonlocal attendees were asked to provide their primary reason for traveling to the community where they were surveyed. Nationally, three-quarters (76.7%) of nonlocals indicated that the primary reason for their trip was "specifically to attend this performance, event, exhibit, venue, or facility."

Nonlocal Primary Reason they are in Attendance

Work Here (or Traveled Here for a Work-Related Reason), but Live Somewhere Else **4.1%**

Vacation, Holiday, or Personal Trip (not specifically related to this activity) **16.3%**

Other **3%**



Specifically to Attend This Performance, Event, Exhibit, Venue, or Facility **76.7%**

A VIBRANT ARTS AND CULTURE COMMUNITY KEEPS RESIDENT SPENDING LOCAL

Additionally, both local and nonlocal attendees were asked what they would have done if the event where they were surveyed had not been available. 50.6% of **local** residents said they would have "traveled to a different community to attend a similar arts or cultural activity." 63.5% of **nonlocals** would have selected another community.

CULTURAL EVENTS ATTRACT NEW DOLLARS AND RETAIN LOCAL DOLLARS

Of the 30.1% of attendees who are nonlocal, 13.8% reported an overnight lodging expense. Not surprisingly, these attendees spent considerably more money during their visit—an average of \$204.40 per person (as compared to \$60.57 per person for the average nonlocal attendee). In fact, nonlocal attendees who reported overnight lodging expenses spent the most per person in every expenditure category.

For this analysis, only one night of lodging expense is counted in the audience expenditure analysis, regardless of how many nights these cultural tourists actually stayed in the community. This conservative approach ensures that the audience-spending findings are not inflated by non-arts-related lodging expenses (e.g., a multi-day vacation that includes sporting events or visiting a national park).

Just 5% of all respondents reported an overnight lodging expense (the other 95% reported \$0 for lodging).

An analysis of the event-related spending by audiences at BIPOC and ALAANA events (N=37,805) showed nearly identical results to the overall national event-related spending findings (N=224,677).

- Attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations spend an average of \$38.29 per person per event—virtually identical to the overall national average of \$38.46.
- 72.2% of attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations reside in the county where the event took place and spent an average of \$31.27 per person per event (compared to national averages of 69.9% and \$29.77).
- 27.8% of attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations traveled from outside the county where the arts event took place and spent an average of \$58.98 per person per event (compared to national averages of 30.1% and \$60.57).

These findings demonstrate that BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations leverage the same amount of event-related spending by their attendees as all arts and culture organizations. They also demonstrate the same pulling power to attract nonlocal visitors to the community. Communities that support organizations centered in creating, advancing, promoting, and preserving artistic and cultural traditions rooted in a community of color can be confident that they are both fortifying their local economy, and supporting venues and activities that provide safe and affirming spaces for people who have been historically marginalized.

Average Per Person Event-Related Spending	Local Attendees		Nonlocal Attendees		National Average (All Attendees)	
	BIPOC Averages	National Averages	BIPOC Averages	National Averages	BIPOC Averages	National Averages
Food and Drink (off-site purchases only)	\$11.21	\$12.86	\$16.01	\$19.07	\$12.29	\$14.81
Retail Shopping	\$6.23	\$5.48	\$10.32	\$9.08	\$7.34	\$6.42
Overnight Lodging	\$1.55	\$1.07	\$14.24	\$14.83	\$4.92	\$5.02
Local Transportation	\$3.54	\$2.97	\$7.13	\$6.93	\$4.46	\$4.09
Clothing and Accessories	\$3.58	\$2.98	\$4.57	\$3.66	\$3.78	\$3.03
Supplies and Groceries	\$2.97	\$2.57	\$3.79	\$4.12	\$3.17	\$2.99
Childcare	\$0.69	\$0.61	\$0.78	\$0.72	\$0.68	\$0.62
Other/Miscellaneous	\$1.50	\$1.23	\$2.14	\$2.16	\$1.65	\$1.48
TOTAL (PER PERSON PER EVENT)	\$31.27	\$29.77	\$58.98	\$60.57	\$38.29	\$38.46

CONCLUSION

Arts and culture is more than food for the soul. It also puts food on the table for millions of people across the U.S.

In 2022, nonprofit arts and culture organizations and their audiences generated \$151.7 billion in economic activity—\$73.3 billion in spending by the organizations, which leveraged an additional \$78.4 billion in event-related spending by their audiences. This economic activity supported 2.6 million jobs and generated \$29.1 billion in tax revenue, more than offsetting the public sector’s arts and culture funding.

AEP6 changes the conversation about nonprofit arts and culture organizations from that of a charity—worthy of funding in prosperous economic times but hard to justify in challenging times—to that of an industry with an economic and social impact. Arts and culture organizations are businesses. They employ people locally, purchase supplies and services from nearby businesses, and produce the authentic cultural experiences that are magnets for visitors, tourists, and new residents. Their very act of creating, presenting, exhibiting, and engaging has a positive economic impact on the community.

When people attend a cultural event, they often make an outing of it—dining at a restaurant, paying for parking or public transportation, enjoying dessert after the show, and returning home to pay for child or pet care. Attendees at nonprofit arts and culture events spend \$38.46 per person per event, beyond the cost of admission—vital income for local merchants and a value-add that few industries can compete with. Arts and culture organizations also strengthen the visitor economy. One-third (30.1%) of attendees travel from outside the county in which the activity takes place; they spend an average of \$60.57, twice that of their local counterparts (\$29.77). When asked, 77% of those nonlocal attendees reported that the primary purpose of their visit was specifically to attend that cultural event.

AEP6 expands beyond the economic and financial data to include social impact measurements on the well-being of communities and residents. 89% of attendees to arts and culture events agreed that, the event they are attending “inspires a sense of pride in the neighborhood or community.” 86% said they would “feel a sense of loss if that activity or venue was no longer available,” and 86% felt it important that “future generations also be able to have that cultural experience.” Furthermore, a national public opinion study conducted as part of AEP6 revealed that 86% of Americans say, “arts and culture are important to their community’s quality of life and livability,” and a remarkable 79% report that the arts are “important to their community’s businesses and local jobs.”

“AEP6 reminds us that vibrant arts and cultural assets exist in every corner of the country. The data paints a vivid picture of how the arts enhance our community prosperity and our residents’ quality of life. By supporting and investing in the arts, counties play an important role in strengthening our communities today and for generations to come. We appreciate our partnership with Americans for the Arts, especially as we continue to demonstrate the value of our artistic and cultural endeavors.”

— **HON. MARY JO MCGUIRE**,
President, National Association of Counties
Commissioner, Ramsey County, MN



AEP6 also included an expectation—for the first time—that a portion of audience surveys be collected from attendees to events that were presented, produced, or hosted by BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. The study found spending by these attendees was nearly identical to the overall national average (\$38.29 and \$38.46 per person, respectively). Social impact survey results were also nearly identical. 81.2% of attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations agreed, “This venue or facility is an important pillar for me within my community.” The figure for all attendees was 81.4%. With the research showing proportional economic and community impacts, these findings should create new urgency around BIPOC and ALAANA organizations receiving fair and proportional financial support—a necessary first step in correcting the grant award processes that have frequently proven to be historically and systemically unbalanced. 86.6% of attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations support preserving these organizations for future generations. Ensuring equitable funding for arts and culture organizations is a vital step in creating an inclusive, balanced, vibrant and sustained cultural landscape.

Arts and culture is a fundamental component of livable communities—beautifying cities and towns, bringing joy to residents, and celebrating diverse cultural expressions and traditions. It powers the creative communities where people want to live and work, where entrepreneurs and innovation thrive, and where businesses and nighttime economies flourish. Shared cultural experiences strengthen social bonds, sense of belonging, and community pride and identity.

Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 delivers a clear welcome message: when communities invest in arts and culture, they are not doing so at the expense of community and economic development. Rather, they are investing in an industry that stimulates the economy, supports local jobs, and contributes to building healthy, vibrant, and more livable communities.



“The economic and cultural impact of live performance on our nation and in communities across the country has been taken for granted for far too long. Independent venues and the entire live entertainment ecosystem are working at the federal, state, and local levels to elevate the critical role we play in community innovation, inclusion, and development. AEP6 will provide unparalleled data and compelling perspectives that will help our sector better tell our story and ensure that arts and culture organizations have a seat at the table to determine the future of their communities.”

— **STEPHEN PARKER,**
Executive Director of the National
Independent Venue Association

BUILDING AEP6 WITH A FOUNDATION IN EQUITY

BY DR. GENNA STYLES-LYAS,
DIRECTOR OF AEP6 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND EQUITY

461
one-on-one
partner calls

20
statewide
virtual gatherings

**COUNTLESS
EMAILS**
to 297 research partners
representing 373
communities over 16
months

3
webinars with
over 200 attendees
at each

3
in-person
visits

Historically, Arts & Economic Prosperity studies have underrepresented and underrecognized arts and culture organizations serving or representing BIPOC- and ALAANA-identifying communities. For AEP6, we set out to intentionally transform our approach to focus on reducing systemic research bias; establishing new local, state, and national partnership models; and creating new narratives that would better represent the BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture communities.

But how do you rebuild a 30-year-old institutional economic impact study into one that is based on a foundation of equity and community engagement? Through constant communication, planning, learning, adjusting, and then readjusting.

As the Director of AEP6 Community Engagement and Equity, I had the opportunity to connect with 297 people representing 373 communities to learn from their individual challenges and witness the pride and passion local and statewide partners held for their communities. Through these one-on-one conversations, we gained a wealth of knowledge providing Americans for the Arts the opportunity to be more collaborative, responsible, and responsive to each of the diverse participating communities. Through this process of engagement, it was critical to document our journey and what we learned. Our goal: To share our learnings with the local and statewide partners that will inform our future work and rebuild a foundation for AEP6 that is centered in equity. Below, I have outlined eight takeaways for consideration.

1. ONE SIZE DOESN'T FIT ALL

The first major shift to the AEP6 study was the requirement for all local and statewide research partners to collect 25% of their audience surveys at events hosted or produced by BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. However, upon implementation, it soon became clear that a one-size-fits-all approach does not account for the unique characteristics of each community. Some communities simply didn't have demographic diversity. Rather than having those communities ignore the requirement because they could not meet it, we readjusted the requirements to a scaled approach based on population data from the U.S. Census. For example, if a community's demographic data revealed that 5% of the population identified as a part of the global majority (BIPOC and ALAANA), the audience survey collection goal at BIPOC and ALAANA centered organizations would adjust to 5%. The remaining 95% of surveys could be collected from other organizations. Many local and statewide partners were inspired by the requirement to make new connections and build deeper relationships within their communities. Others were encouraged by this approach and have gone above and beyond the requirement—collecting more surveys than the required minimum from BIPOC and ALAANA organizations.

“There is a national movement, a national dialogue here that is so important for a time such as now.”

— JEREMY JOHNSON, President and CEO, Assembly for the Arts, Cleveland, OH

If, after many points of engagement, the research partners could not identify any BIPOC or ALAANA arts and culture organizations in their community, then their audience data collection requirements were met by collecting surveys from non-BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. Our aim was to be true to the community and not overburden or oversurvey a single organization. With this thoughtfully scaled approach, 141 of the 373 participating communities (37.8%) achieved or surpassed their goal.

Challenges with the U. S. Census

We acknowledge that this revised approach may not be perfect due to the historic systemic oppressive practices embedded in the U.S. Census methodology such as:

- The Three-Fifths Compromise, where enslaved descendants of Africa were counted as a portion of a person₁.
- Misuse of the data to the detriment of certain communities, such as the wartime incarceration of Japanese-Americans in the 1940s₂.
- Undercounting of people from disinvested communities that have an impact on social/political resources₃—compounding this count is the distrust of the census in immigrant and other marginalized communities₄.
- Racial or ethnic categories that do not allow people to identify or feel fully represented as themselves_{5,6}.

These practices create a challenge when attempting to accurately illustrate population demographics.

However, in future AEP studies, we will continue to refine our processes in partnership with our community partners to be more inclusive of diverse communities across the country.

“The African Diaspora Consortium works to positively impact outcomes of Black populations across the African Diaspora. Arts and culture can be used as a vehicle to enhance understanding and connectedness as historical and cultural uplift. The economic impact and social impact of BIPOC and ALAANA representing organizations and their audiences will support our strategy. From our perspective, the arts and culture organizations across the nation of the African Diaspora; each artwork and series is a journey through thought; a way to connect the dots of the past to the present so that we can collectively decide where to take our future, and the future looks bright!”

— **KATRINA ANDRY**, ADC Global Visual Artistic Director, African Diaspora Consortium (ADC)



2. NECESSARY COLLABORATORS

To advise Americans for the Arts on our approach, methodology, and creation of AEP6 resources, we developed an AEP6 Equity Task Force with members that represented the breadth of the arts and culture industries. These included researchers, funders, local research partners, and BIPOC organization leaders who would actually be asked to complete the surveys. The Task Force helped us present relatable, functional, and actionable ideas. They also helped us define what a BIPOC and ALAANA organization was and were the first to review changes to the AEP6 methodology. With early and frequent involvement of the Task Force, we were able to work more effectively and impactfully with local and statewide research partners and thus, enable them to better connect with their BIPOC and ALAANA communities.

Additionally, local and statewide research partners were responsible for boots-on-the-ground efforts inside the 373 participating study regions. This was the most difficult work because they were navigating challenges such as time constraints, perfectionism, and diving into operationalizing equity—in addition to technical challenges with definitions, digital options, and systemic bias.

“Music and the arts are the foundation of vibrant communities and help us connect, understand, and inspire one another. All while driving economic growth, creating rewarding jobs and careers, and powering our culture forward. Americans for the Arts’ latest Arts and Economic Prosperity report does a vital service documenting the facts on the ground of the U.S. creative and nonprofit economy and offers valuable lessons and opportunities for communities seeking to boost their own arts footprint and activities.”

— MITCH GLAZIER, Chairman and CEO, Recording Industry Association of America

3. IMPORTANCE OF RELATIONSHIPS

As part of this minimum audience sample requirement, the AEP6 project team and Equity Task Force reviewed the Definition of Eligible Organizations from the previous AEP study. Although the definition was expansive, the majority of local and statewide partners have historically only connected with 501(c)(3) organizations that participated previously or larger, more familiar arts and culture organizations.

The minimum audience survey sample requirement aimed to represent BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations and their audiences in this study. In order to accomplish this, we had to ensure local and statewide research partners understood that this requirement was more than just a box to check or a quota to meet. Research partners needed to build trust and maintain their commitment to representation. We asked research partners to go deep into their communities and expand beyond what the European standard of the arts and culture community may look like (e.g., ballet, operas, symphonies, large companies).

Through a webinar and many one-on-one conversations, the AEP6 project team reviewed the criteria with AEP6 research partners. We learned that some long-standing BIPOC and ALAANA organizations had not been recognized as a part of the arts and culture ecosystem. Research partners were excited to learn and identify arts and culture events happening in community hubs they had not previously considered, such as churches, libraries, and cultural centers. It was thrilling and rewarding for research partners to dig into a deeper well of arts and culture organizations. What did we learn?

- Some BIPOC and ALAANA organizations that presented arts and culture programming were social service/social justice organizations.
- There were a number of BIPOC and ALAANA organizations that worked together in a co-leadership model to create an event.
- Some of the organizations were based outside of the community, but not too far.
- Local and statewide research partners were able to network within a community they had never engaged with previously.
- Collaboration created touring opportunities for the BIPOC and ALAANA organization.
- Through the representation of BIPOC and ALAANA organizations, pathways were uncovered for BIPOC and ALAANA communities to further engage within the arts and culture sector.

“[The AEP6 study’s focus on inclusion] sparked curiosity and excitement to learn more about organizations they weren’t connecting with. [With this focus,] we created a new mini-grant opportunity to help local organizations in the city. AEP6 helped us understand the need and how to take action.”

— KATE GIPSON, Local Research Partner Louisville, KY

4. TIME OVER TRANSACTIONAL: PERFECTION IS NOT REAL

One of the challenges to building relationships is that it can take a long time, especially if there is a foundation of historical distrust or marginalization of either side. Balancing competing commitments of day-to-day responsibilities with completing AEP6 data collection, research partners found it difficult to find the time to make and nurture new relationships. At times, research partners expressed that it would be easier to reach out to old organizations that were well-known rather than exploring broader communities.

It became clear that the minimum sample requirement was more than a quick and easy transactional interaction. Local and statewide research partners found that BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations needed more than just an email and an ask to participate in a survey. To accomplish a more representative survey, research partners needed to maintain an openness and commit time to build new and sustaining relationships.

“There is a lot of work to do, but also, I think one of the ways we’ve been successful in reimagining AEP6 is that we’re building in time to pause. We’re building in time to walk into a wall and get stuck and back up and figure out another way—a door, a window, a ladder—whatever it is, and I think that’s been beneficial to us.”

— SALLY DIX, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
Bravo Greater Des Moines, Des Moines, IA

In some cases, research partners had to accept that some relationships did not result in a partnership or any level

of engagement for the AEP6 study. However, if they tend to these relationships and responsibly build trust equity, there is an opportunity for future partnerships for the next AEP study and beyond.

What we heard from local and statewide partners:

- There were a number of times when research partners were disappointed or shocked that BIPOC and ALAANA organizations they found or knew didn’t engage in the study at all.
- Research partners shared that BIPOC and ALAANA organizations committed but couldn’t follow through. Through direct discussion with some of these BIPOC and ALAANA organizations, we learned that, like other organizations, low volunteer engagement and lack of resources made it difficult to carry out the survey.
- Some organizations had a distrust or trepidation of the local or statewide research partner because they had never engaged with the research partner before.
- Lastly, some Indigenous, Native American, and American Indian communities have events and programs that are not open to the public.

We asked research partners not to push or overstep the engagement, just simply work with these organizations to support them, build trust, and create collaborative opportunities. Now that local and statewide research partners have this experience through AEP6, we have greater confidence that we can build upon the foundation of trust and collaboration established.



“Whenever we share data with policymakers about how the live arts generate economic activity, eyes are opened. As we wrestle with historic underfunding of the arts in the United States, this study shows how tremendously powerful the live arts are in generating economic activity by activating other community businesses. This study is a must-read for policymakers and economic development staff from coast to coast.”

— AL VINCENT, JR.,
Executive Director, Actors’ Equity Association

5. OPERATIONALIZING EQUITY-CENTERED PRACTICES AND PROCESSES

The other major component of this study was mitigating the amount of harm to all participating parties. The AEP6 research team was committed to creating structures of support and providing resources to do this work intentionally and responsibly with each participating community. Through each research partner conversation, we learned another story, challenge, or perspective on the work of AEP6. These conversations were at the root of how we developed the resources to support and operationalize the practices and processes of community engagement with BIPOC and ALAANA organizations.

Some research partners did not know where to start and how to engage. Early conversations explored the reality that each community engagement touch point is unique. Recognizing this, the AEP6 team built a one-sheet resource, "[Engaging with Community](#)," outlining activation points to support and guide responsible communication through a service-driven mindset. The resource provided clear expectations aimed at building or rebuilding trust and thoughtful relationships.

"My town has a history of racism and self-segregation. The young black artists here are not offered access to venues like other artists or arts organizations. When they have tried to get venue access, these artists or smaller artist collectives are harassed or met with a level of hostility. However, I have found that most artists collective's events are kept really secret with more than one organizer. I have been able to build a relationship to understand how to join those spaces respectfully."

— ANONYMOUS RESEARCH PARTNER

We also heard concern from several research partners on their ability to locate BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. To address this concern, we developed the resource, "[Making Connections with BIPOC and ALAANA Organizations](#)," to advise research partners on how to intentionally find, approach, connect, and navigate early conversations, and thoughtfully engage with BIPOC and ALAANA organizations beyond AEP6. As one can imagine, our conversations with local and statewide research partners did not end after the second resource. Instead, they became more complicated and

nuanced. To aid future conversations, we developed the website, [Maintaining and Strengthening Committed Community Connections](#), a digital engagement tool for research partners to develop a deeper understanding on how to implement and practice equitable community engagement efforts to foster and influence advocacy.

Finally, we translated audience surveys into 24 languages, in addition to English, to ensure representation and better acceptance of the survey. While most respondents utilized the English version of the survey, we received direct feedback that these translated surveys helped multilingual speakers feel seen and acknowledged—a significant early step in strengthening existing and building the new relationships.

"We have the survey in English, but we also had it in Punjabi and Hindi. I can tell you that it was like this wall came down. And they felt very seen and surprised that anyone wanted to offer a survey in a cultural language that was familiar to them. And while most people did end up taking it in English, it was really an amazing touch point. It opened up a door for conversation between me and the person taking the survey when they saw that extra mile had been walked to make this more accessible to them and to their community."

— JENN GORDON, Former Executive Director, ArtsPartners of Central Illinois, Peoria IL



6. TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

During this process, we had to contend with a number of societal challenges facing communities across the country. We encouraged expansion in a post-pandemic environment when volunteers were hard to come by. Unfortunately, this led to some research partners overcommitting themselves and further realizing that their local support had diminished. Many partners found themselves overextended, and the arts and culture organizations they were looking to survey were understaffed, underfunded, and unable to support the AEP6 effort.

To add to this difficulty, research partners reported that audience members had communicated an aversion to completing the survey because they didn't trust where the information was going or suffered burnout from numerous surveying efforts during the pandemic.

“Challenges so far have included not having enough volunteers to collect surveys at our own events. Also, with the organizations and event organizers that we’ve been working with, sometimes they have a difficult time finding enough volunteers to fulfill the basic duties of their event. There have been lapses in communication when dropping off surveys and getting it to the volunteers that are supposed to collect them all...all of these have been challenges. We just do what we can to make sure that we attend as many events as possible and gather as many volunteers as possible and collect as many surveys as possible. It has not been perfect, but we have been trying to collect as much data as we can.”

— CATHY HARDISON, Executive Director, Wilson Arts, Wilson, NC

And finally, for the first time the AEP6 audience survey had a digital option, in addition to the paper survey. The research team delivered two different QR codes (one for BIPOC or ALAANA organizations and a second for non-BIPOC or non-ALAANA organizations) to each of the local and statewide research partners that would allow audiences to take the survey on their phones. With the QR codes, we were unable to verify if the correct version of the code was employed, which created more space for user error. Paper surveys, on the other hand, were easier to verify because research partners were required to use batch cover sheets to confirm the event as BIPOC or ALAANA. For the paper surveys, we were able to cross-check the event coding with the name of the organization which held the event. The only recourse for QR code surveys were additional administrative steps to screen incoming surveys for suspected anomalies. With any suspected anomalies, we would have to follow up individually which required additional time and effort.

“It is crucial for Black state legislators to champion the arts because fostering economic growth in our communities is intricately tied to robust support and funding for artistic endeavors. The decline in arts support has disproportionately affected regions that need it most. By advocating for the arts, Black state legislators can help cultivate a more imaginative and innovative workforce, ultimately bolstering our economy and advocating for the cultural richness of Black communities.”

— REP. KAREN D. CAMPER,
TN House Minority Leader & Founder
of Black Legislative Leaders Network



7. THE REALITY OF UNCONSCIOUS BIAS

The systemic societal issues facing communities are pervasive. Despite encouragement to challenge the status quo, we found current policies and practices, in which the status quo was grounded, hard to move away from.

“We’re working within mainstream culture here in the U.S., and so sometimes, we do forget that communication style needs to change, especially when we’re working with human beings. Not every human being is the same, not every community is the same as well. We like to tout that the U.S. is a melting pot of cultures. It may be a melting pot, but it doesn’t mean that we’re all blended together and just a blank array. We have our unique abilities. We have our unique cultures and traditions that need to be addressed, especially when we are approaching people to ask for information from them. While [the study] may benefit these communities, it doesn’t mean that they want to participate if we’re not presenting the benefits for them, but also being humble in how we approach.”

— MARIO MESQUITA, Manager of Advocacy and Engagement, Regional Arts & Culture Council, Portland, OR

As with any random sampling effort, we acknowledge the possibility of interviewer bias during the audience engagement portion. Even though instructions were given to ensure random sampling, it is impossible to completely rule out interviewer bias in the selection of event attendees surveyed. Additionally, in some participating communities, there was a built-in perception of a deficit outcome story. Some research partners expressed nervousness about attending free community events or other events in non-traditional spaces because the economic outcome story of the region would be brought down by low spending at the event. We encouraged research partners to push through that perception and attend, as those events are all part of the arts and culture ecosystem.

We found that the level of spending by audiences at BIPOC and ALAANA events (\$38.29) is very similar to the national overall arts and cultural events spending average (\$38.46). With the addition of social impact questions to AEP6, we found even more valuable data beyond the economic impact. 86.6% of attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA events believe that their attendance to these arts and culture events is a way of ensuring them for future generations.

Reflecting on the above-mentioned findings, the perception of BIPOC and ALAANA organizations creating a reduction in the region’s economic impact is simply untrue. However, these perceptions are often rooted in bias fed by societal challenges. The perceptions are hard to tackle because they are sometimes unconscious assumptions and based on past experiences. We will continue to work with local and statewide research partners while learning from and supporting BIPOC and ALAANA organizations to build better engagement and combat social issues that block progress.



“As a social and cultural entrepreneur, I have witnessed the importance of informing our BIPOC and foreign-born communities about our impact and contributions to the economy. Having access to this comprehensive and thorough study will allow grassroots and established organizations to quantify and see the financial benefits of growing and diversifying audiences, as well as acknowledging their contributions towards building and sustaining our cultural movements. AEP6 will be a valuable tool in sharing that message.”

— ANGIE DURELL,
 Founder and CEO, INTEMPO and
 AEP6 Equity Task Force member

8. REFINING DEFINITIONS

When we began the process of making AEP6 more inclusive, one of the most asked questions was centered on how we defined BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. The AEP6 Equity Task Force was critical in this process. We wanted to allow organizations the ability to self-identify as BIPOC or ALAANA; however, the timeline of the project meant that the audience survey had to come before the organizational survey where each organization would self-identify. This meant local and statewide research partners had to research whether arts and culture organizations and events were BIPOC- or ALAANA-identifying prior to completing audience surveys. In order to guide research partners to find BIPOC and ALAANA organizations, we came up with the following definition:

BIPOC and ALAANA organizations include organizations that have a mission statement (or guiding principles) that is centered on advancing, creating, and/or preserving artistic and cultural traditions rooted in communities of color.

During our process, a research partner emailed a list of organizations they found, whose mission didn't explicitly identify as rooted in a community of color, including The Griot Collective of West Tennessee. We learned the term "griot" is defined as a member of a class of traveling poets, musicians, and storytellers who maintain a tradition of oral history in parts of West Africa. The ability to identify the term allowed us to better understand if the organization fit within the criteria. Additionally, we looked at the programs the collective organized. It was highly likely the organization would identify as BIPOC or ALAANA. We recommended the partner reach out to the Griot Collective to talk about the study, confirm how they identify, and see if they would be interested in participating.

We found that there were many BIPOC and ALAANA organizations that cannot or do not put their affiliation within their mission statement due to some of their communities' societal circumstances. Constant communication between local and statewide research partners, independent research, personal practitioner experience, and information from local arts organizations also aided in identification efforts. Based on this definition and discussions with local arts organizations, we were able to identify additional organizations that may not have been considered BIPOC- or ALAANA-identifying at the outset of this process.

While the BIPOC or ALAANA organization definition originally required that more than 50% of the organization's audiences/attendees identify as BIPOC or ALAANA, we found that this requirement did not represent a majority of BIPOC and ALAANA organizations across the country. Further, we found that inconsistent or nonexistent practices to track audience demographics—and the implicit bias involved with attempting to broadly categorize audiences—affirmed the need to remove this requirement.



"As the world's largest and most reliable resource for destination organizations, arts and culture organizations make up the beautiful tapestry of what makes destinations come alive. Advocacy, especially at the local level, and data from the AEP6 research partners empower destinations to not just showcase their beauty but to measure the impact of creativity, fostering a vibrant, sustainable future for all to explore and cherish."

— **SOPHIA HYDER HOCK**,
Chief Diversity Officer, Destinations International

CONCLUSION

Americans for the Arts will continue to refine the AEP study, discovering opportunities to improve the process while also celebrating successes in each iteration. We discovered that our past focus on methodology and capturing economic heavyweights like large-budget Eurocentric institutions, contributed to the underrepresentation of organizations serving or representing BIPOC- and ALAANA-identifying communities and their ability to advocate for their economic impact. Will our transformed methodology in AEP6 rectify our history of underrepresenting BIPOC and ALAANA communities? No, but we are continuing to remodel and expand future iterations of the AEP study to ensure we do not overlook other vital areas.

Showing only the economic impact is a singular tool we can use for advocacy. It does not show the necessary nuances required to truly engage with the community. Dollars and jobs can't quantify the sense of community and fellowship you experience when you attend a local fair, the pride audiences feel when exploring your neighborhood and finding a mural that captures the essence of your community, or the affirmation of identity that comes when you attend an event as a means to ensure that very cultural experience will be available for future generations. These feelings are based on community and transcend across all generations and life experiences. AEP6 is just beginning to scratch the surface—revealing important social and community impact questions to evolve a bigger narrative for advocacy. We are grateful for all of the hard lessons we had to learn during AEP6, and we look forward to sharing and growing in this work with the industry. From the bottom of our hearts, we want to thank our partners for the conversations, the feedback, the calls, and the questions, and for challenging us every step of the way to make sure your perspective was heard and your community was represented. **We see you. We will keep listening. We will keep doing the work.**

Americans for the Arts extends our deep gratitude to the BIPOC and ALAANA organizations and their audiences for participating in this study. We understand that this may be the first time this kind of work has been done in your community, and we are grateful for your trust. We are committed to the building of relationships beyond AEP6.

Noted Resources

1. https://www.pbs.org/race/000_About/002_04-background-03-01.htm
2. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/retropolis/wp/2018/04/03/secret-use-of-census-info-helped-send-japanese-americans-to-internment-camps-in-wwii/>
3. <https://itep.org/the-role-of-census-data-in-policy-and-racial-equity/>
4. <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2019/2020-census-cbams.html#:~:text=The%20analysis%20revealed%20five%20barriers,census%20might%20not%20benefit%20you>
5. <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/who-the-census-misses/>
6. <https://www.cnn.com/2021/08/15/us/census-2020-multiracial-nation/index.html>

ABOUT THIS STUDY

Americans for the Arts conducted AEP6 to document the economic and social benefits of the nation's nonprofit arts and culture industry. The study was conducted in 373 diverse communities and regions across the country, representing all 50 states and Puerto Rico. A local or statewide research partner implemented the data collection for each community—a total of 297 research partners represented the 373 participating communities (41 research partners represented multiple communities such as both a city and a county). The participating communities range in population from 4,000 to 4 million and represent rural, suburban, and urban areas (130 cities, 126 counties, 78 multi-city or multi-county regions, 18 arts districts, and 21 states/territories).

Researchers, in collaboration with their local and statewide partners, collected surveys from 16,399 organizations and 224,677 attendees to provide a measure of total industry spending. Using the IMPLAN economic modeling platform, input-output analysis models were customized for all 373 study regions. These quantitative models measure the economic relationships between hundreds of different industries in each geographic area. Reports were prepared for each of the 373 study regions, and national estimates were made for the nation as a whole.

For this study, economic impact is defined as the following measures:

- Jobs is a total figure of people employed (full-time, part-time, and seasonal employment jobs).
- Resident household income includes salaries, wages, and entrepreneurial income paid to residents. It is the money individuals earn personally and then use to pay for food, mortgages, and other living expenses.
- Tax revenue to local, state, and federal governments includes revenue from taxes (e.g., income, property, or sales), as well as funds from licenses, filing fees, and other similar sources.

To participate in AEP6, the 297 local and statewide research partners agreed to four participation criteria.

- 1.** Identify and code the comprehensive universe of eligible arts and culture organizations located in their study region.
- 2.** Assist with the collection of detailed financial and attendance information from those organizations and review the information for accuracy.
- 3.** Collect audience-intercept surveys from attendees at a broad, representative sample of cultural events that take place in their study region.
- 4.** Pay a modest cost-sharing fee. (No community was refused participation for an inability to pay.)

How local and statewide research partners identified eligible arts and culture organizations for study inclusion.

Each of the 297 research partners identified the universe of nonprofit arts and culture organizations located in their region using the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entity (NTEE) coding system as a guideline. The NTEE system—developed by the National Center for Charitable Statistics at the Urban Institute—is a definitive classification system for nonprofit organizations recognized as tax exempt by the Internal Revenue Code. This system divides the entire universe of nonprofit organizations into 10 major categories, including “Arts, Culture, and Humanities.” The IRS Business Master File lists approximately 116,000 nonprofit arts and culture organizations registered with the IRS in 2022.

The following NTEE “Arts, Culture, and Humanities” subcategories were included in this study:

- A01 – Alliances and Advocacy
- A02 – Management and Technical Assistance
- A03 – Professional Societies and Associations
- A05 – Research Institutes and Public Policy Analysis
- A11 – Single Organization Support
- A12 – Fund Raising and Fund Distribution
- A19 – Support (not elsewhere classified)
- A20 – Arts and Culture (general)
- A23 – Cultural and Ethnic Awareness
- A24 – Folk Arts
- A25 – Arts Education
- A26 – Arts and Humanities Councils & Agencies
- A27 – Community Celebrations
- A30 – Media and Communications (general)
- A31 – Film and Video
- A32 – Television
- A33 – Printing and Publishing
- A34 – Radio
- A40 – Visual Arts (general)
- A50 – Museums (general)
- A51 – Art Museums
- A52 – Children’s Museums
- A53 – Folk Arts Museums
- A54 – History Museums
- A56 – Natural History and Natural Science Museums
- A57 – Science and Technology Museums
- A60 – Performing Arts (general)
- A61 – Performing Arts Centers
- A62 – Dance
- A63 – Ballet
- A65 – Theatre
- A68 – Music
- A69 – Symphony Orchestras
- A6A – Opera
- A6B – Singing and Choral Groups
- A6C – Bands and Ensembles
- A6E – Performing Arts Schools
- A70 – Humanities (general)
- A80 – Historical Organizations (general)
- A82 – Historical Societies and Historic Preservation
- A84 – Commemorative Events
- A90 – Arts Services (general)
- A99 – Arts, Culture, and Humanities (miscellaneous)
- B70 Libraries
- C41 Botanical Gardens and Arboreta
- C42 Garden Clubs
- D50 Zoos and Aquariums
- N52 Fairs and Festivals
- Q21 International Cultural Exchange


AEP6 takes an inclusive approach that accounts for different localities and cultures. For example, in some communities, the museum may be a nonprofit organization while in others it is a government-owned and operated entity. Both are included in AEP6. Also included are entities such as public and private local arts agencies, living collections (zoos, aquariums, and botanical gardens), university presenters, and arts programs under the umbrella of a non-arts organization or facility (such as a library, social service organization, or church). In addition to the organization types listed above, the study research partners were encouraged to include other types of eligible organizations if they play a substantial role in the cultural life of the community or if their primary purpose is to promote participation in, appreciation for, and understanding of arts and culture. In short, if it displays the characteristics of a nonprofit arts and culture organization and has an identifiable budget, attendance, and leadership, it was included in AEP6. This study does, however, exclude individual artists and the for-profit arts and entertainment sector (e.g., Broadway or the motion picture industry)—all vital and valued components of the nation’s arts landscape but beyond the scope of this study.

SURVEYS OF NONPROFIT ARTS AND CULTURE ORGANIZATIONS

Detailed information was collected from 16,399 eligible organizations about their fiscal year 2022 expenditures (e.g., labor, local and non-local artists, operations, materials, facilities, and asset acquisition), as well as their event attendance, in-kind contributions, and volunteerism. Surveys were collected from February through July 2023. Some organizations only provided total expenditures and attendance (they are included in the study). Responding organizations had budgets ranging from a low of \$0 to a high of \$375 million. Response rates for the 373 communities averaged 43.9% and ranged from 5% to 100%. It is important to note that each study region's results are based solely on the survey data collected. No estimates have been made to account for non-respondents. Therefore, the less-than-100 percent response rates suggest an understatement of the economic impact findings in most of the individual study regions.

SURVEYS OF NONPROFIT ARTS AND CULTURE AUDIENCES

Audience-intercept surveying, a common and accepted research method, was conducted in all 373 of the study regions to measure event-related spending by nonprofit arts and culture audiences. Attendees and participants were asked to complete a short survey while attending an event. Nationally, a total of 224,677 attendees completed the survey for an average of 602 surveys per study region. The randomly selected respondents provided itemized expenditure data on attendance-related activities such as meals, souvenirs, transportation, and lodging, as well as socioeconomic information, ZIP code of primary residence, and four social impact questions. Data was collected from May 2022 through June 2023 at a broad range of both paid and free events. The survey respondents provided information about the entire party with whom they were attending the event. With an overall average travel party size of 2.41 people, this data represents the spending patterns of 541,472 attendees.





STUDYING ECONOMIC IMPACT USING INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS

The nation's economy is shaped by complex interactions among businesses, workers, and communities. To derive the most reliable economic impact data, input-output analysis is used to measure the impact of expenditures by nonprofit arts and culture organizations and their audiences. This is a highly regarded type of economic analysis that has been the basis for multiple Nobel Prizes in economics. The models are systems of mathematical equations that combine statistical methods and economic theory in an area of study called econometrics.

Americans for the Arts uses the IMPLAN platform to create the customized models for each of the 373 study regions. Input-output models calculate the interdependencies between various sectors or industries within a region. The model quantifies how changes in one sector's output and demand for inputs affect other sectors in the economy. IMPLAN's models are based on detailed tables that represent the flow of goods and services between different industries. IMPLAN relies on region-specific and industry-specific data to customize input-output models for different areas and sectors, allowing for more accurate and region-specific analysis.

In short, this analysis traces how many times a dollar is respent within the local economy before it leaks out, and it quantifies the economic impact of each round of spending. This form of economic analysis is well suited for AEP studies because it can be customized specifically to each participating community, region, or state.

CALCULATION OF THE NATIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT ESTIMATES

The national estimates were derived using the following steps:

1. The 130 cities and towns that participated in the study were stratified into six population cohorts, and average economic impact results were calculated for each cohort. Ten communities were excluded from the calculation of the averages due to their comparably high levels of economic activity relative to the other participating communities in their cohort. This was done to avoid inflating the national estimates.
2. The nation's largest 13,189 incorporated places were assigned to one of the six groups based on their population, as supplied by the U.S. Census Bureau, and assigned the economic impact average for its population group.
3. The average economic impact values of the cities and towns were added together to determine estimated national economic impact findings.

GLOSSARY

BIPOC and ALAANA

These acronyms are used to reference individuals or communities of color: BIPOC (Black, Indigenous, People of Color) and ALAANA (African, Latine, Asian, Arab, Native American). While these terms do not fully encompass or represent the complicated and multi-layered nature of indigeneity or ethnic and racial identities, they are the most commonly used terms in our work.

Cultural Tourism

Travel directed toward experiencing and engaging with the arts, culture, heritage, traditions, and special character of a place. It may involve visiting an arts and culture organization, attending festivals, and experiencing the cuisine.

Direct Economic Impact

A measure of the economic effect of the initial expenditure within a community. For example, when a symphony pays its players, each musician's salary and the associated payroll taxes paid by the nonprofit represent direct economic impact.

Direct Expenditures

The first round of expenditures in the economic cycle (the money buyers pay to sellers in exchange for goods or services). A ballet company's purchase of dance shoes is an example of direct expenditures.

Econometrics

The process of using statistical methods and economic theory to develop a system of mathematical equations that measures the flow of dollars between local industries. The input-output model customized for each AEP6 community is an example of an econometric model.

Household Income (or Personal Income)

The salaries, wages, and entrepreneurial income residents earn and use to pay for food, mortgages, and other living expenses. It is important to note that resident household income is not just salary. When a business receives money, for example, the owner usually receives a percentage of the profit, resulting in income for the owner. Household income also includes benefits and employer-paid payroll taxes (social security, unemployment, etc.).

IMPLAN

AEP6 study uses IMPLAN for its economic analysis. IMPLAN is short for "IMpact analysis for PLANning." It is a widely used economic modeling and impact analysis tool. Using the IMPLAN economic modeling platform, input-output analysis models were customized for all 373 study regions. These quantitative models measure the economic relationships between hundreds of different industries in each geographic area. IMPLAN is a well-regarded system that is used by more than 1,000 U.S. companies and governments.

Indirect and Induced Impact

AEP6 measures the economic impact of the arts using a methodology that enables economists to track how many times a dollar is respent within the local economy and thus, to measure the economic impact generated by each round of spending. For example, when a theater company purchases paint from the local hardware store, there is a measurable economic effect of that initial expenditure within a community. However, the economic benefits typically do not end there because the hardware store uses some of its income to pay the clerk that sold the paint (induced impact), as well as to pay other businesses such as the electric bill (indirect impact). The indirect and induced economic impacts are the effects of the subsequent rounds of spending by businesses and individuals, respectively.

Input-Output Analysis

A system of mathematical equations that combines statistical methods and economic theory in an area of economic study called econometrics. Economists use this model (occasionally called an inter-industry model) to measure how many times a dollar is respent in, or ripples through, a community before it leaks out (see Leakage). The model is based on a matrix that tracks the dollar flow between hundreds of finely detailed industries in each community. It allows researchers to determine the economic impact of local spending by nonprofit arts and culture organizations on jobs, household income, and government revenue. This is a highly regarded method of economic analysis that ensures reliable and actionable localized results.

Jobs (Employment)

Employment data in IMPLAN is an annual average headcount of full time, part time, and seasonal employment. Note that a person can hold more than one job, so the job count is not necessarily the same as the count of employed persons. While IMPLAN employment adjusts for seasonality, it does not indicate the number of hours worked per day. It is not, therefore, equal to full time equivalents. This is the same definition used by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis Regional Economic Accounts and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Census of Employment and Wages.

Leakage

Leakage refers to the money that is spent outside of a community. This is measured because nonlocal spending has no economic impact within the community, whereas dollars spent within the community continue to have an economic local impact. A ballet company purchasing shoes from a nonlocal manufacturer is an example of leakage. If the shoe company were local, the expenditure would remain within the community and create another round of spending (and local economic impact) by the shoe company.

Social Impact

In AEP6, social impact refers to the effect that the nonprofit arts and culture industry has on the well-being of individuals and their community, such as social connections, community pride and identity, physical and emotional health, and community livability.

Tax Revenue to Local, State, and Federal Governments

The IMPLAN economic modeling platform used in AEP6 provides a measure of government tax income based on the transactions of the tracked economic activities. It includes taxes paid by both businesses and individuals such as sales tax, income tax, corporate tax, and property tax.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

This section answers some common questions about the AEP6 study and the methodology used to complete it.

What is the significance of the AEP6 study?

Americans for the Arts provides the trusted knowledge and information tools that leaders need to advocate for increased funding for arts and culture, inclusive equitable policies and programs, and a thriving local arts agency field. Building on its 30-year legacy as the largest and most inclusive study of its kind, Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 (AEP6) is an economic and social impact study of the nation's nonprofit arts and culture industry. The study provides detailed findings on 373 regions from across all 50 states and Puerto Rico—ranging in population from 4,000 to 4 million—and represents rural, suburban, and large urban communities. AEP6 uses a rigorous methodology to document the economic contributions of the arts and culture industry, demonstrating locally as well as nationally that arts and culture is a critical economic driver of vibrant communities. Americans for the Arts partnered with 297 local, regional, and statewide organizations that represent the 373 study regions in AEP6. This study absolutely could not have been completed without them. This collective effort across the country fosters diverse and inclusive collaborations that can influence sustainable policy change and more arts funding. AEP6 is released with important national partners—organizations of public and private sector leaders that steer billions of dollars into arts and culture funding and create arts-friendly policies. These include:

- Actors' Equity Association
- African Diaspora Consortium
- Arts & Planning Division (American Planning Association)
- Black Legislative Leaders Network
- Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO (American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations)
- Destinations International
- International City/County Management Association
- Independent Sector
- National Association of Counties
- National Conference of State Legislatures
- National Alliance of Community Economic Development Associations
- National Independent Venue Association
- National Organization of Black Elected Legislative Women
- Race Forward
- Recording Industry Association of America
- The Conference Board
- U.S. Conference of Mayors



What are the key findings from AEP6?

AEP6 uses a highly regarded, conservative approach to analyze the economic impact of the nonprofit arts and culture industry, which generates a significant amount of economic activity by its organizations and event-related spending by its audiences.

The message is clear: a vibrant nonprofit arts and culture community not only keeps residents and their discretionary spending close to home, it also attracts visitors who spend money and help local businesses thrive.

Highlights of the study include:



Local Impact

What continues to set AEP6 apart from other national studies is exactly why it is so useful. It is local. Every study region uses the same rigorous methodology and each receives its own customized report. Surveys from 16,399 nonprofit arts and culture organizations and 224,677 of their attendees were collected by local and statewide research partners, and customized economic input-output models were built for every study region.

Arts and Culture Audience Impact

AEP6 is the only national study that incorporates the event-related spending by arts and culture audiences. When attendees go to an arts and culture event, they may also pay for parking, eat dinner at a restaurant, enjoy dessert after the show, and return home to pay child or pet care. The typical attendee spends \$38.46 per person, not including the cost of admission.

Visitor Impact

Vibrant arts and culture communities attract visitors who spend money and help local businesses thrive. The study found that one-third of attendees (30.1%) were from outside the county in which the arts event took place. They spent an average of \$60.57, twice that of their local counterparts (\$29.77)—all vital income for local merchants.

For 77% of respondents, the primary purpose of their visit was to attend that cultural event. When we asked arts and culture event attendees what they would have done if the event where they were surveyed had not been available, 51% of local attendees said they would have “traveled to a different community to attend a similar arts or cultural activity,” and 64% of nonlocal visitors would have selected another community as well.

Social Impact

For the first time, AEP6 asked audiences social impact questions. Beyond its economic and financial impacts, arts and culture provides social contributions that benefit the wider community, such as neighborhood pride and cultural identity. Surveys completed by individual attendees demonstrate a deep appreciation for how arts and culture impacts the development and well-being of communities and their residents. It found:

- **89%** of respondents agreed the activity or venue they were attending was “a source of neighborhood pride for the community.”
- **86%** said they would “feel a sense of loss if that activity or venue was no longer available.”
- **86%** felt it important that future generations also be able to have that cultural experience.

This high level of appreciation is found across all socioeconomic groupings.

Equity and Inclusion

AEP6 included an expectation—for the first time—that our research partners would collect a portion of audience surveys from attendees to events that were presented, produced, or hosted by BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. The study found:

- Spending by attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations was nearly identical to the overall national average (\$38.29 and \$38.46 per person, respectively).
- Social impact survey results were also nearly identical. For example, 81.2% of attendees at BIPOC and ALAANA organizations agreed, “This venue or facility is an important pillar for me within my community.” The figure for all attendees was 81.4%.

These findings should initiate critical funding conversations about BIPOC and ALAANA organizations receiving fair and proportional financial support.

- A 2019 report by Americans for the Arts, for example, found that among local arts agency grantmaking organizations, the largest 16% of grant recipients (by budget) received 73% of the dollars awarded.
- The 2022 survey found that the pandemic’s impact was not felt equally. Organizations serving and representing BIPOC communities were more likely to report lacking the financial resources needed to return to in-person programming than non-BIPOC organizations (55% vs. 38%).

Ensuring equitable funding for arts and culture organizations is a vital step in creating an inclusive, balanced, and vibrant cultural landscape.



What are the problems or challenges that AEP6 helps to address?

Like all nonprofits, arts and culture organizations have a public purpose: to make their cultural product broadly accessible so everyone can share in its benefits. And, like all nonprofits, they depend on financial support from the government and the private sector to deliver on that promise. We are in a time, however, when many leaders feel challenged to fund the arts. Shrinking budgets, mandates to prioritize jobs and economic growth, and pressing community development issues make for difficult decision making. AEP6 brings a welcome message: when we invest in the arts, we are investing in an industry that strengthens the economy and builds more livable communities.

Past AEP studies have focused primarily on the financial, economic, and tourism contributions of the nonprofit arts and culture industry. A result of this has been an underrepresentation and underrecognition of arts and culture organizations that primarily serve communities of color and their audiences. For the first time, AEP6 expands beyond the economic and financial data to learn about the arts' social impact on the overall well-being of communities and the importance of affirming spaces in BIPOC- and ALAANA-identifying communities. With the goal of making AEP6 more inclusive and reducing systemic bias, Americans for the Arts transformed its approach and expanded the inclusion and participation of organizations serving or representing communities of color by:

- Hiring an AEP6 community engagement and equity research director
- Adding an equity consultant to the research team
- Establishing an AEP6 Equity Task Force composed of leaders from all segments of the industry
- Completing a full review and restructure of the methodology
- Ensuring publishing accessibility guidelines were met and providing inclusive language offerings (e.g., the audience survey was available in 25 languages)
- Creating a series of community engagement tools to help our research partners identify, approach, and establish new and strengthen existing relationships with organizations representing BIPOC- and ALAANA-identifying communities



Why did AEP6 do a focused analysis of the BIPOC and ALAANA organizations and their audiences?

There are many identities and communities that are marginalized, persecuted, and discriminated against across the nation. For the purposes of AEP6, we identified BIPOC and ALAANA organizations as a starting place, as the social construct of race has been historically pervasive and at the bedrock of prejudice since well before the 1700s. We also acknowledge that there are intersectionalities within BIPOC and ALAANA people that span many other marginalized groups. AEP6 provides a baseline for future studies to explore and potentially expand.

What impact did the COVID-19 pandemic have on AEP6?

AEP6 was postponed for 16 months due to the pandemic. Data collection for AEP6 was originally scheduled to be completed by December 2021 and based on budget and attendance information for the 2020 fiscal year. The study now focuses on fiscal year 2022. The pandemic had a devastating impact on the arts sector. According to national survey work by Americans for the Arts, 99% of producing and presenting arts and culture organizations canceled events during the pandemic—representing the loss of an estimated 557 million ticketed admissions. A secondary impact of the pandemic is the continued stress faced by the arts and culture industry. This includes continued reduced staffing levels needed to complete the organizational survey as well as fewer volunteers and staff to conduct the audience surveys.

What trends do you see between the last AEP5 study (2017) and this current AEP6 study (2023)?

The pandemic occurred in the time between the AEP5 and AEP6 fiscal years of analysis (2015 and 2022, respectively). While analyses of the pandemic's impact on the arts will continue for years to come, the challenges it brought had an undeniable effect on the industry. Thus, study-to-study comparisons of AEP findings are not recommended.

Because of the robust samples of audience surveys that were collected for each study (212,671 for AEP5 and 224,677 for AEP6), it is appropriate to make comparisons with some of the audience data. Nationally, the average per person event-related expenditure increased from \$31.47 in AEP5 to \$38.44 in AEP6 (+22%), a change that keeps pace with inflation. Conversely, the percentage of nonlocal attendees (visitors from outside the county in which the event took place) decreased from 34% in AEP5 to 30% in AEP6 (-11.5%).

What is new in 2022 versus previous years?

The prioritization of financial and economic analyses in past AEP studies typically resulted in high rates of inclusion by large-budget organizations (often focused on Eurocentric culture) and an underrepresentation of arts and culture organizations that primarily serve communities of color. Two changes were made to the AEP6 methodology with the goal of mitigating this imbalance.

1. The first was building a larger and more inclusive universe of organizations eligible to be surveyed in AEP6. Local and statewide research partners used new protocols to make contact with organizations that they may have had no previous relationship with and identify new ones they were unaware of. Research partners also sought to identify arts and culture programs under the umbrella of a non-arts organization or facility (e.g., social service agency, faith-based institution, or library). Expanding the terminology to “arts and culture” was also a deliberate equity strategy. This is because “arts” organizations and “culture” organizations are used synonymously in some communities of color.
2. AEP6 included an expectation—for the first time—that our local and statewide research partners would collect a portion of audience surveys at events that were presented, produced, or hosted by BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. A requested sample size was determined for each community based on the U.S. Census Bureau's percentage of the population that identifies as “White only, not Hispanic or Latino.” For example, if the census estimates that 20% of a community's population identifies as something other than “White only, not Hispanic or Latino,” the research partner representing that community was asked to collect at least 20% of their total sample of audience surveys from attendees to BIPOC and ALAANA organizations. The requested minimum sample was “at least 25%” for nearly two-thirds of the 373 participating communities. While just 141 of the 373 study regions were able to meet the data collection goal (38%), it yielded a robust national sample of 37,805 respondents.

Who conducted this research?

Americans for the Arts led the research in collaboration with its local and statewide research partners. There are a total of 297 research partners representing the 373 participating communities (41 research partners represented multiple communities such as both a city and a county).

The participating communities range in population from 4,000 to 4 million and represent rural, suburban, and urban areas (130 cities, 126 counties, 78 multi-city or multi-county regions, 18 arts districts, and 21 states/territories).

Who is the sample group for the research?

In 2021, Americans for the Arts published a call for communities interested in participating in the AEP6 study. Study partners agreed to complete the study's four participation criteria. Some partners requested that multiple study regions be included in their study (e.g., a county as well as a specific city within the county). As a result, 297 study partners represent a total of 373 participating study regions.

How were the eligible arts organizations in each community selected?

Each of the 297 study partners identified the universe of nonprofit arts and culture organizations located in their region(s). Eligibility was determined using the Urban Institute's National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) coding system as a guideline. Communities were encouraged to include other types of eligible organizations if they play a substantial role in the cultural life of the community or if their primary purpose is to promote participation in, appreciation for, and understanding of the visual, performing, folk, literary, and media arts. These include government-owned or operated cultural facilities and institutions, municipal arts agencies or councils, living collections (such as zoos and botanical gardens), university museums and presenters, and arts programs that are embedded under the umbrella of a non-arts organization or facility. For-profit businesses and individual artists were excluded from this study. In short, if it displays the characteristics of a nonprofit arts and culture organization, it was included.

To assist the 297 study partners, Americans for the Arts provided a sample list of the eligible organizations that are located in each of the 373 participating communities using secondary source data. For communities in the six New England states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont), a list of eligible organizations was provided by our research partner the New England Foundation for the Arts via its CreativeGround database, a dynamic regional directory that celebrates and reflects the vital work of New England's artists, creatives, culture bearers, and creative organizations and businesses. For communities in all other states, a list of eligible organizations was licensed from Candid's GuideStar database of 1.8 million IRS-recognized tax-exempt organizations. Each study partner reviewed, cleaned, and supplemented the list for their community using their own data sources, then returned a final list of eligible organizations to Americans for the Arts.

What is the study methodology? What type of economic analysis was done to determine the study results?

AEP6 uses a highly regarded, conservative approach to analyze the economic impact of the arts and culture industry, which generates a significant amount of event-related spending and tax revenue.

Researchers—together with local and statewide AEP6 study partners—collected expenditure and attendance data from 16,399 arts and culture organizations and 224,677 of their attendees to measure total industry spending. Using the IMPLAN economic modeling platform, input-output analysis models were customized for each study region. These quantitative models measure the economic relationships between hundreds of different industries in each geographic area. This, in turn, enables localizable economic impact results to be derived.

Why this level of rigor? Quite simply, \$50 spent in two different cities, even if in the same state, may have two very different sets of economic impact outcomes. It takes more than 1 million calculations to derive the economic impact data for each community. IMPLAN's methodology utilizes a highly regarded method of economic analysis that ensures reliable and actionable localized results.

Will elected officials, economists, and other community decision-makers trust the validity and rigor of the AEP6 study?

Yes, the AEP6 study makes a strong argument to legislators, but you may need to provide them with some extra help. It will be up to the user of this report to educate the public about economic impact studies in general and the results of this study.

- The user may need to explain the study methodology used and the IMPLAN system that provides a customized input-output model for each of the 373 study regions. You can be confident that the input-output analysis used in this study is a highly regarded model in the field of economics.
- It is also valuable to mention the conservative approach used by AEP6. For example, organizational expenditures are based only on the data collected. No estimates are made for nonresponding organizations. The audience surveys are conducted at a broad range of cultural events to ensure a representative sample, and not just at the highest priced venues, which would inflate the audience spending averages.
- The AEP6 national partners are organizations of public and private sector leaders that steer billions of dollars into arts funding and create arts-friendly policies. They are partners because (1) they too believe the arts are a fundamental component of a healthy community, and (2) they view the methodology and study findings worthy of their members' attention. Partners are listed on the back cover of every AEP6 report.
- **The AEP6 methodology was developed and vetted by economists.** As in any professional field, however, there are differing opinions about procedures, jargon, and the best way to determine results. Ask ten artists to define art, and you can expect ten different answers. Ask ten economists the best way to measure the economic impact of arts and culture, and you can expect a similar range of responses. Some economists, for example, prefer to exclude spending by residents in the economic analysis and only track the impact of spending by visitors (often considered the purest form of economic development). Others, however, include resident spending because it plays a significant role in understanding the industry's overall economic contributions to local businesses and the community. In AEP6, both local and nonlocal impacts are counted in the analysis. The data tables in the report appendix provide details about both local and nonlocal economic impacts. This provides full transparency of the work and offers the opportunity for others to find additional insights from the study.

Who funds this research?

AEP6 was funded by the 297 local and statewide study partners and the Americans for the Arts Ruth Lilly Endowment Fund.



THANK YOU TO OUR 297 RESEARCH PARTNER ORGANIZATIONS!

Americans for the Arts partnered with 297 local, regional, and statewide organizations that represent the 373 study regions in AEP6 (41 partners included multiple study regions as part of their AEP6 participation). This study absolutely could not have been completed without them.

ALABAMA

Arts Huntsville
The Arts Council of Tuscaloosa

ALASKA

Fairbanks Arts Association

ARIZONA

Arizona Commission on the Arts
Chandler Center for the Arts
Flagstaff Arts Council
City of Mesa Department of Arts and Culture
City of Phoenix Office of Arts + Culture
Scottsdale Arts
Sedona Arts Center
West Valley Arts Council
City of Tempe Arts and Culture Division
Southern Arizona Arts and Cultural Alliance

ARKANSAS

Creative Arkansas Community Hub & Exchange (CACHE)

CALIFORNIA

City of Carlsbad Cultural Arts Office
City of Coronado Cultural Arts Commission
City of Encinitas Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Arts Department
City of Escondido Department of Economic Development
Nevada County Arts Council
California Desert Arts Council
City of Laguna Beach Cultural Arts Department
City of National City
City of Oceanside (Arts Commission)
City of Riverside Arts & Cultural Affairs Division
Inland Empire Community Foundation
Riverside Arts Council
Arts Council of Placer County
City of Sacramento Office of Arts and Culture
Arts Connection
Balboa Park Cultural Partnership
City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture
San Francisco Arts Commission
City of San José Office of Cultural Affairs
Silicon Valley Creates

City of Santa Ana
Arts Council Santa Cruz County
Arts Council for Monterey County
City of Walnut Creek Arts and Recreation Department
City of West Hollywood Communications Department (Arts Division)

COLORADO

City of Boulder Office of Arts + Culture
Cultural Office of the Pikes Peak Region
Denver Arts & Venues
City of Fort Collins Department of Cultural Services
Grand Junction Commission on Arts & Culture

CONNECTICUT

Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development (Office of the Arts)
Cultural Alliance of Western Connecticut
Shoreline Arts Alliance
Greater Hartford Arts Council
Arts Council of Greater New Haven
City of New Haven Department of Arts, Culture, and Tourism
Southeastern Connecticut Cultural Coalition
Cultural Alliance of Fairfield County
Northwest Connecticut Arts Council
Arts & Culture Collaborative of the Waterbury Region

DELAWARE

Delaware Division of the Arts

FLORIDA

Florida Division of Cultural Affairs
Bradenton Area Convention and Visitors Bureau
Clearwater Arts Alliance
Broward County Cultural Division
Lee County Alliance of the Arts
Alachua County Manager's Office
Cultural Council of Greater Jacksonville
Cultural Council of Palm Beach County
City of Largo
Creative Pinellas
Miami-Dade County Department of Cultural Affairs
United Arts Collier
City of Ocala

Thank You To Our 297 Research Partner Organizations!

United Arts of Central Florida
Flagler County Cultural Council
City of Safety Harbor
City of Fort Lauderdale Office of the City Manager
Cultural Arts Alliance of Walton County
Arts & Cultural Alliance of Sarasota County
St. Johns Cultural Council
St. Petersburg Arts Alliance
Arts Council of Martin County
Council on Culture & Arts
Arts Council of Hillsborough County
Tarpon Arts

GEORGIA

Georgia Council for the Arts
City of Atlanta Mayor's Office of Cultural Affairs
Greater Augusta Arts Council
Macon Arts Alliance
City of Savannah Department of Cultural Resources

HAWAII

Garden Island Arts Council

IDAHO

Idaho Commission on the Arts

ILLINOIS

ArtsPartners of Central Illinois
City of Elgin
Quad City Arts

INDIANA

City of Carmel
Arts United of Greater Fort Wayne
Arts Council of Indianapolis

IOWA

Iowa Arts Council
Ames Community Arts Council
Community Foundation of Northeast Iowa
Pottawattamie Arts, Culture, and Entertainment
Bravo Greater Des Moines
City of Dubuque Office of Arts and Cultural Affairs
Quad City Arts
Englert Theatre
Marion Chamber of Commerce
MacNider Art Museum
Sioux City Art Center

KANSAS

Arts Council of Johnson County
ArtsConnect
City of Wichita Division of Arts & Cultural Services

KENTUCKY

LexArts
Fund for the Arts
Paducah Convention & Visitors Bureau

LOUISIANA

City of Monroe Department of Economic Development

MAINE

Creative Portland
Waterville Creates

MARYLAND

Cecil County Arts Council
Prince George's Arts and Humanities Council
Arts & Humanities Council of Montgomery County

MASSACHUSETTS

Springfield Cultural Partnership

MICHIGAN

Creative Washtenaw
Upper Peninsula Arts and Culture Alliance
Greater Flint Arts Council

MINNESOTA

St. Croix Valley Foundation and ArtsReach St. Croix
The Arts Partnership

MISSISSIPPI

Yoknapatawpha Arts Council

MISSOURI

Missouri Arts Council
City of Columbia Office of Cultural Affairs
Florissant Civic Center Theatre
Hannibal Arts Council
Connect2Culture
ArtsKC
Lee's Summit Cultural Arts Division
Springfield Regional Arts Council
Greater St. Charles County Chamber of Commerce
Allied Arts Council of St. Joseph
Regional Arts Commission of St. Louis

MONTANA

Arts Missoula

NEBRASKA

Lincoln Arts Council

NEVADA

Nevada Arts Council
City of Las Vegas Office of Cultural Affairs
Clark County Department of Parks & Recreation (Public Art)
City of Reno Office of the City Manager (Arts, Culture, & Events)

NEW HAMPSHIRE

City of Dover Arts Commission
Arts Alive!
City of Nashua Arts Commission
Upper Valley Business Alliance
Sullivan County Office of the County Manager
City of Portsmouth

NEW JERSEY

ArtPride New Jersey
Camden County Cultural & Heritage Commission
Cumberland County Cultural & Heritage Commission
Union County Office of Cultural & Heritage Affairs
Hunterdon County Cultural & Heritage Commission
Bergen County Division of Cultural & Historic Affairs
City of Jersey City Office of Cultural Affairs
Hudson County Office of Cultural & Heritage Affairs
Morris Arts
Middlesex County Office of Arts and History
Monmouth Arts
Somerset County Cultural & Heritage Commission
Ocean County Cultural & Heritage Commission
Essex County Division of Cultural & Heritage Affairs

NEW MEXICO

New Mexico Department of Cultural Affairs
City of Albuquerque Department of Arts & Culture
City of Santa Fe Arts and Culture Department
Millicent Rogers Museum

NEW YORK

Long Island Arts Alliance
Arts Services Initiative of Western New York
ArtsWestchester

NORTH CAROLINA

North Carolina Arts Council
Arts AVL
Watauga County Arts Council
Transylvania Community Arts Council
Town of Carrboro Department of Recreation, Parks, & Cultural Resources
Town of Cary Cultural Arts Division
Town of Chapel Hill Division of Community Arts and Culture
Arts & Science Council of Charlotte/Mecklenburg
Pocosin Arts
Cabarrus Arts Council
Durham Arts Council
Arts Council of Fayetteville/Cumberland County
ArtsGreensboro (in partnership with Creative Greensboro and the High Point Arts Council)
Pitt County Arts Council at Emerge
United Arts Council of Catawba County

Orange County Arts Commission
Town of Hillsborough Planning and Economic Development Division
Caldwell Arts Council
Arts Council of Carteret County
Craven Arts Council and Gallery
Wilkes Art Gallery
Brunswick Arts Council
Chatham Arts Council
United Arts Council of Raleigh and Wake County
Cleveland County Arts Council
Columbus County Arts Council
Gaston Arts Council
Arts Council of Wilmington and New Hanover County
Wilson Arts
Arts Council of Winston-Salem/Forsyth County

NORTH DAKOTA

North Dakota Council on the Arts
Dakota West Arts Council
The Arts Partnership
Jamestown Arts Center
Minot Area Council of the Arts

OHIO

Assembly for the Arts
Culture Works
Buckeye Hills Regional Council

OKLAHOMA

Norman Arts Council

OREGON

Oregon Arts Commission
Oregon Center for the Arts at Southern Oregon University
Arts Council of Clatsop County
Tualatin Valley Creates
Scalehouse Collaborative for the Arts
Coos Bay - North Bend Visitor and Convention Bureau
Northeast Oregon Economic Development District
Arts & Business Alliance of Eugene
Florence Regional Arts Alliance
Desert Moon Atelier
City of Monmouth Arts & Culture Commission
Chehalem Cultural Center
Oregon Coast Council on the Arts
City of Ontario
Clackamas County Arts Alliance
Pendleton Development Commission
Regional Arts & Culture Council
Umpqua Valley Arts Association
City of Salem
The Dalles Arts Center

PENNSYLVANIA

Lehigh Valley Arts & Cultural Alliance
Greater Philadelphia Cultural Alliance
Greater Pittsburgh Arts Council

PUERTO RICO

Institute of Puerto Rican Culture

RHODE ISLAND

City of Providence Department of Art, Culture & Tourism

SOUTH CAROLINA

Arts Council of York County
Chapman Cultural Center

SOUTH DAKOTA

Arts South Dakota
Aberdeen Area Arts Council
Brookings Arts Council
Rapid City Arts Council
Sioux Falls Arts Council
Yankton Area Arts

TENNESSEE

Tennessee Arts Commission
Grundy County Mayor's Office
Athens Area Council for the Arts
Brownsville Arts Council
ArtsBuild
Clarksville/Montgomery County Arts and Heritage Development Council
Community Foundation of Cleveland and Bradley County
City of Columbia Arts Council
Greene County Partnership
Monthaven Arts and Cultural Center
Jackson Arts Council
Kingsport Office of Cultural Arts
Arts & Culture Alliance of Greater Knoxville
Macon County Arts Council
Monroe Area Council for the Arts
ArtsMemphis
Rose Center Council for the Arts
Johnson County Center for the Arts
Rutherford Arts Alliance
Metropolitan Nashville Arts Commission
Arts in McNairy
City of Tullahoma Arts Council

TEXAS

City of Dallas Office of Arts and Culture
Dallas Arts District
North Texas Business Council for the Arts
Arts Council of Fort Worth
City of Frisco Cultural Affairs Division

City of Garland Cultural Arts Division
Houston Arts Alliance
Lewisville Grand Theater
McKinney Performing Arts Center
City of San Antonio Department of Arts & Culture
Abilene Cultural Affairs Council
Creative Waco

UTAH

Utah Division of Arts and Museums
Davis County
Cache Valley Center for the Arts
Arts Council of Park City & Summit County
Salt Lake City Arts Council
Salt Lake County Zoo, Arts and Parks Program

VERMONT

Vermont Arts Council
Burlington City Arts
Upper Valley Business Alliance
Paramount Theatre

VIRGINIA

City of Alexandria Office of the Arts
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Montgomery County VA Regional Tourism
ArtsFairfax
City of Fairfax Cultural Arts
City of Falls Church Recreation and Parks Department
Arts Alliance
CultureWorks

WASHINGTON

City of Bellevue Department of Community Development
City of Redmond Department of Planning and Community Development
City of Renton Municipal Arts Commission
City of Tacoma Office of Arts and Cultural Vitality

WEST VIRGINIA

Community Connections

WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Arts Board
Pablo Center at the Confluence
Peninsula Arts and Humanities Alliance
Bay Area Arts and Culture Alliance
St. Croix Valley Foundation and ArtsReach St. Croix
Dane County Arts and Cultural Affairs Commission
Imagine MKE

WYOMING

Arts Cheyenne

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND APPRECIATION

Americans for the Arts expresses its gratitude to the many people across the country who made Arts & Economic Prosperity 6 possible and assisted with its development, coordination, and production. A study of this scale cannot be completed without the collaboration of many partnering organizations and individuals.

Research Partners

Special thanks to each of our 297 local, regional, and statewide research partners who contributed time, heart, and financial support toward the completion of this study. We thank each one of them. AEP6 would not have been possible without them. Thanks also to the New England Foundation for the Arts and SMU DataArts for their collaboration and contributions to the data collection effort.

AEP6 Equity Task Force

The AEP6 Equity Task Force provided invaluable advisory support and guidance throughout the study. Their insights and expertise are reflected in everything from the methodology to the survey design to community engagement tools used by local research partners to language usage and narrative messaging of the report, thereby helping to ensure the inclusion of BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations and communities in the study. The Task Force has enabled Americans for the Arts to begin to address its history of underrepresenting BIPOC and ALAANA arts and culture organizations as part of the AEP studies. We are most grateful.

- **Angie Durrell**, Founder and CEO, INTEMPO
- **David Pankratz**, Arts Policy and Research Advisor
- **Elisheba Johnson**, Curator, Wa Na Wari
- **Jeremy Johnson**, President and CEO, Assembly for the Arts
- **Mark Cardwell**, Founder and Principal Consultant, Cardwell Communications LLC
- **Monica Montgomery**, Social Justice Curator, Museum Consultant, Community Engager
- **Sally Dix**, Executive Director, Bravo Greater Des Moines
- **Sandra Aponte**, Program Officer, John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation
- **Suzan Jenkins**, Chief Executive Officer, Arts & Humanities Council of Montgomery County

Miles Partnership

- **Melissa Cherry**, Chief Diversity & Inclusion Officer and Senior Vice President
- **Najauna White**, Vice President, Diversity, Equity & Inclusion
- **Juan F. Vargas**, Diversity, Equity & Inclusion Account Director

Americans for the Arts Staff

A study of this magnitude is a total organizational effort. Appreciation is extended to the entire staff and board of Americans for the Arts. The Research Department was responsible for the production of this study—**Benjamin Davidson**, **Dr. Genna Styles-Lyas**, and **Randy Cohen**.

ABOUT AMERICANS FOR THE ARTS

Americans for the Arts is a national arts service organization based in Washington, D.C., with an office in New York City. Founded in 1960, it serves, advances, and provides leadership to the network of organizations and individuals who cultivate, promote, sustain, and support the arts and arts education in America.

NATIONAL AEP6 PARTNERS

The following national organizations partner with Americans for the Arts to help public and private-sector leaders understand the economic and social benefits that the arts bring to their communities, states, and the nation.

- **Actors' Equity Association**
- **African Diaspora Consortium**
- **Arts & Planning Division (American Planning Association)**
- **Black Legislative Leaders Network**
- **Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO (American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations)**
- **Destinations International**
- **International City/County Management Association**
- **Independent Sector**
- **National Association of Counties**
- **National Conference of State Legislatures**
- **National Alliance of Community Economic Development Associations**
- **National Independent Venue Association**
- **National Organization of Black Elected Legislative Women**
- **Race Forward**
- **Recording Industry Association of America**
- **The Conference Board**
- **U.S. Conference of Mayors**